1						
2	STATE OF MAINE SUPERIOR COURT Criminal Action					
3	KNOX, ss. Docket No. KNO-92-360					
4						
5						
6	STATE OF MAINE,)					
7	Plaintiff,)					
8	-vs- VOLUME III					
	DENNIS JOHN DECHAINE,					
9	Defendant.)					
10						
1 1						
12	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS					
13	Motion For New Trial					
14						
15	BEFORE: HONORABLE CARL O. BRADFORD, JUSTICE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT					
16						
	Knox County Courthouse					
17	Rockland, Maine					
18	July 9, 1992					
19	9:05 a.m.					
20	APPEARANCES:					
2 1	For the Plaintiff: Eric E. Wright, AAG.					
2 2	For the Defendant: Thomas J. Connolly, Esq.					
23						
24	Kimberly McCulloch					
25	Official Court Reporter					

1	INDEX OF TESTIMONY				
2	1	Direct	Cross	Redirect	Recross
3	EDWARD SENECAL By Mr. Connoli By Mr. Wright	ly 486	502	505	507
₹ 5	PATRICK SENECAL		302		307
	By Mr. Connol:	ly 508			
6	ROBERT LAPIERRE				
7	By Mr. Connol:	1у 539		572	# T O
8	By Mr. Wright	_	571		572
9	ALFRED HENDSBEE				
10	By Mr. Wright By Mr. Connoll	579 lv	588		
11	RONALD JACQUE	- 4			
11		604		620	
12	By Mr. Connol:	lУ	609		
13	STEVEN DRAKE				
14	By Mr. Wright By Mr. Connol:		627		
15	PATRICK LEHAN				
16	By Mr. Wright By Mr. Connol:		632		
17	THOMAS AUSTIN				
18	By Mr. Wright By Mr. Connoll		644		
19	LUCIEN TARDIF, 3				
20	By Mr. Wright By Mr. Connoll	648 ly	660		
2 1	•	•			
22	1	NDEX	OF EXHIBI	TS	
	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Admitted
23	State's Exhibit	# 4		655	655
24	Defendant's Ex.	# 7		485	485
25	Dolondant 5 EAT	# 8		574	577

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

(This matter came on before the Honorable Carl O. Bradford, at Rockland, Maine in the Superior Court, Knox County Courthouse on July 9, 1992 for hearing commencing at 9:05 a.m.)

Good morning, THE COURT: Mr. Connolly.

MR. CONNOLLY: Good morning. There's one housekeeping matter if I may, sir. in my hand a document which has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit Number 7 for identification purposes which I submit is a certified copy of a State of Maine motor vehicle registration which was certified by the Secretary of State on the 23rd of June in 1992.

The state has had an opportunity to review this, I am going to be offering this as the certification of the registration of the vehicle identified in Defendant's Number 4 as belonging to Douglas Senecal of Phippsburg, Maine.

> THE COURT: Mr. Wright.

MR. WRIGHT: That's fine.

It is admitted. THE COURT:

you.

MR. CONNOLLY: The defense would call 1 Edward Senecal. 2 THE CLERK: Please raise your right 3 hand and state your name. THE WITNESS: Edward Senecal. Thereupon, 6 EDWARD SENECAL 7 was called as a witness and, after having been 8 duly sworn, was examined and testified as 9 follows: 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. CONNOLLY: 12 Q State your full name again, sir, for the 13 record. 14 Edward Senecal. 15 How old are you, sir? 16 17 A 66. What do you do for work? 18 Q I'm retired. 19 A Yes, sir, and from what, what was your work 20 during your active years? 21 I was a shipping clerk a while and then I was 22 a security guard for the government, SB 23 Manufacturing in New York. 24 Where do you currently reside, sir? 25

- 1 A North Carolina, Pilot Mountain.
- 2 Q How long have you lived there?
- 3 A Well, I have lived there for a short while but I
- 4 have been there in that township for four years
- 5 and two months.
- 6 | Q So you have a new residence but you live in the
- 7 area?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 | Q Are you married?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q How many children do you have, sir?
- 12 A I have step children.
- 13 Q How many of those?
- 14 A Three.
- 15 Q Yes, sir. Do you have brothers and sisters?
- 16 A I do.
- 17 Q How many?
- 18 A There's eight -- nine. Nine.
- 19 Q Are you the youngest then?
- 20 A No, I am right in the middle.
- 21 | Q Is one of your brothers named Pat Senecal?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 | Q Is another brother named Luis (phonetic)?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q And do you keep in touch with your family, sir?

- 1 A As much as I can.
- 2 | Q On a sporadic basis, not on a regular basis?
- 3 A Uh-huh.
- 4 Q Do you also have a nephew by the name of
- 5 Douglas?
- 6 A Yes, I do.
- 7 Q And do you keep in contact with him, sir?
- 8 A I sure do.
- 9 Q What do you mean by "sure do"? Are you closer
- 10 to him?
- 11 A Yes, I am, I see him all the time.
- 12 Q And he currently is residing in North Carolina;
- is that right?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q So is that how you come to see him on a regular
- 16 basis?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 | Q Have you been seeing him on a regular basis
- since he went down to North Carolina more or
- 20 less?
- 21 A As much as I could, yes. That means that I was
- 22 away for a while.
- 23 Q Okay. Were you on some -- did you live other
- 24 | places or did you travel?
- 25 A I was in Maine for a while with Pat and I was in

- 1 Massachusetts for a while with Nancy, my sister.
- 2 | Q Nancy? How many sisters do you have, sir?
- 3 A Four.
- 4 Q So there are nine of you, five of them are males
- 5 and four of them are females?
- 6 A That's right.
- 7 | Q And so you lived in Maine with Patrick and his
- 8 wife?
- 9 A I stayed with him for a month. I got there the
- 10 15th of January and left Valentine's Day.
- 11 | Q Of what year, sir?
- 12 A This year.
- 13 Q '92?
- 14 A (Motions head up and down.)
- 15 Q Where does Patrick fit in in the family in so
- 16 far as age wise?
- 17 A He is the baby.
- 18 | Q And he has children that you know?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 | Q Do you know Brenda?
- 21 A I do.
- 22 | Q Sir, you came up voluntarily today; is that
- 23 right?
- 24 A Yes, I did.
- 25 | Q And you came up with Douglas?

- 1 A I did.
- 2 | Q During the course of your time with Douglas down
- 3 in North Carolina or otherwise are there times
- 4 when you and he have conversations of a personal
- 5 nature?
- 6 A Sure.
- 7 | O Often?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Would you say that you two are closer than other
- members of the family?
- 11 A Absolutely.
- 12 | Q And to that extent you share confidences?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Excuse me.
- And how long have you been that close with
- 16 him?
- 17 A Since his last two years of high school.
- 18 | Q Which would have been approximately when, '72,
- 19 73?
- 20 A Oh, '70, '71.
- 21 | Q Plus or minus. At least 20 years you have been
- 22 fairly close then?
- 23 A Uh-huh.
- 24 | Q Do you recollect, sir, yourself the time frame
- in which the incident which led us here today

- occurred in July of 1988?
- 2 A When I heard it?
- 3 Q Yes, sir.
- 4 A I believe -- I have to think just a minute.
- 5 Q Sure.
- 6 A It would be July '88.
- 7 | Q And where were you at when you heard about it?
- 8 A I lived in Cana, Virginia.
- 9 Q You were still employed at the time working?
- 10 | A I retired.
- 11 | Q At that time you were retired?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q What was the source of your information, just how did you come to know about the incident?
- 15 A The stepdaughters were grieving over their sister.
- 17 Q Did they come down to visit or did you hear it on the phone?
- 19 A Douglas come down to visit.
- Q So in July of 1988 Douglas came down. About what date was that, if you know, sir?
- 22 A I really can't give you the number.
- Q Approximate if you can. The early part in the month or the latter part?
- 25 A I would say the latter part.

- 1 Q Who was accompanying him, other members of the family?
- 3 A Maureen, his wife.
- 4 | Q Anyone else that you recollect?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Would it be fair to say that that incident was a significant event in the family?
- 8 A Give me that again.
- Q Sure. This incident that we are referring to was a significant event in the family?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 | Q The subject of much conversation over time?
- 13 A No, no, no. It was just told.
- 14 | Q I am sorry?
- 15 A I say it was just mentioned to me and we were

 16 sad so I didn't carry on with it.
- 17 Q Over the course of the time since 1988 you have, 18 have you not, had opportunity to discuss this
- matter further with Douglas?
- 20 A No.
- Q You are saying that you never have had any discussions at all about this?
- 23 A No.
- Q Have you had any discussions whatsoever with other members of your family, Luis Senecal for

- 1 example?
- 2 A No.

- Q Have you had any discussions whatsoever with your brother Eddie Senecal?
- MR. WRIGHT: He is Eddie.
- 6 BY MR. CONNOLLY:
- 7 Q I am sorry. Patrick. Have you had discussions with Patrick?
- 9 A Oh, yes. He is -- all right.
- 10 Q That's all right, you can finish if you want.
- 11 A No. Go on.
- 12 Q On how many occasions do you believe that you
 13 have had discussions with Patrick on this issue?
- 14 A I didn't have any discussion in the first place,
- he would say things and wait for me to agree
- with him, which I never did.
- 17 Q How often did these kind of statements occur?
- 18 A From him?
- 19 | Q Yes, sir.
- 20 A From him all the time. All the time.
- 21 | Q Do you have any particular recollection of
- 22 incidents or events or just in general you know
- 23 there's been a lot of discussion about it, talk
- 24 about it?
- 25 A There's a little echo.

- 1 | Q That's all right, I am not so loud today yet.
- 2 Do you have any particularized
- 3 recollections of any specific conversations with
- 4 Patrick or is it just in general that you
- 5 remember there have been a number of different
- 6 conversations?
- 7 A Nothing specific.
- 8 | Q Do you recall Patrick visiting with you on July
- 9 of last year?
- 10 A I do. It was the 1st -- I believe it was around
- 11 the 10th.
- 12 | Q Right after the 4th of July last year?
- 13 A It was the 1st.
- 14 | Q The 1st of July, sir?
- 15 A (Motions head up and down.)
- 16 | Q I am sorry, I thought you said the 10th. Did
- 17 you say the 10th and I misunderstood?
- 18 A That's what I said.
- 19 | Q Did he stay from the 1st to the 10th?
- 20 A The 1st to the 10th. I don't know about the
- 21 | 10th but I know the 1st.
- 22 | Q So in any event the 4th of July would be a date
- of last year which you would remember to have
- 24 had such a meeting with or discussions with
- 25 Patrick?

- 1 | A Yeah. I believe he was at Pilot Mountain.
- 2 | Q At empire --
- 3 A Pilot Mountain.
- 4 Q P-I-L-O-T, Pilot?
- 5 A That's right.
- 6 | Q And who else was present for that, sir?
- 7 A I have to think a minute. Maureen.
- 8 | Q Anyone else?
- 9 A Pardon?
- 10 | Q Was there anyone else present, sir?
- 11 A My wife and I.
- 12 | Q Your wife's name?
- 13 | A Margaret.
- 14 | Q Sir, did there come a time in July during that
- 15 July visit in which you and Patrick had a
- 16 discussion about --
- 17 A I never discussed anything with him about this.
- 18 | Q Okay, at all, that's your testimony?
- 19 A Not at all.
- 20 | Q At any time?
- 21 A At any time.
- 22 | Q Turning your attention to your brother Luis
- Senecal, have you had occasion to discuss this
- 24 matter with Luis?
- 25 A No.

- 1 | Q Never?
- 2 A No. It could have been mentioned but no
- discussion.
- 4 | Q I am sorry, sir, I didn't hear the first part.
- 5 A It could have been mentioned but no discussion.
- 6 Q During this period of time or earlier did you
- 7 | have discussions with Douglas about this matter?
- 8 A No.
- 9 | Q Prior to coming into court today did you have an
- 10 opportunity to discuss your presence here today
- 11 with the attorney for the state, Mr. Eric
- 12 Wright?
- 13 | A To discuss it?
- 14 | Q Yes, sir.
- 15 | A What do you mean discuss it?
- 16 | Q Did you have a conversation with him about what
- 17 | purpose you were coming up here today? . .
- 18 A I was in the presence --
- 19 | Q I am sorry, sir?
- 20 A I was in the presence of conversation.
- 21 | Q But not participating in the conversations?
- 22 A No.
- 23 | Q Were words directed to you as part of the group?
- 24 A No.
- 25 | Q They were directed to somebody else?

- 1 A (Motions head up and down.)
- Q So as far as you are concerned you had no discussion whatsoever with anyone as to why you were coming up here today; is that right?
- 5 A No.
- Q So you did -- I am sorry, I am not trying to trick you. Did you talk with anybody about why you were coming up here?
- 9 A Other than Doug himself.
- Q So Doug himself would be one person that you had a conversation with about why you were coming up here?
- 13 A Well, I am sure he knows.
- 14 Q Did you have conversation with anybody else as 15 to why you were coming up here?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q The attorney for the state or any members of the
 18 Attorney Generals Office or police department,
 19 you had no conversations?
- 20 | A No.
- Q In the time from leaving North Carolina to
 arriving here you had no conversations
 whatsoever in reference to the issue that brings
 you to court today?
- 25 A Just what I just got through saying. What you

- just got through asking me, that's all.
- Q How do you know what the issue is here today then?
 - A What do you mean by that?
 - Q Well, you understand based upon the services of the subpoena downstairs this morning as to what --
- 8 A Well, I knew what I was here for.
 - Q How did you know that?

7

9

16

17

- 10 A Because I come from North Carolina up here to be here.
- 12 Q That's my question, sir. How did you know why
 13 you had to come up if you have had discussions
 14 with nobody about it?
- 15 A I just can't understand this part of it.
 - Q That's all right, sir. I am asking you straight forward, you came from North Carolina to Maine to say some things, correct?
- 19 A (Motions head up and down.)
- 20 Q How did you know of what was at interest to 21 anybody if you never talked to anybody about 22 it?
- MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, I object. He

 has indicated that he spoke with Douglas Senecal

 about it and therefore he is here. That is what

I understood the testimony to be.

THE COURT: Thus far it seems this witness' testimony is that the only one that he has had any discussion with about this case at all was his nephew, Douglas. So perhaps you may want to pursue that angle.

MR. CONNOLLY: Yes, sir. I am attempting to get there.

BY MR. CONNOLLY:

- Q You had conversation then, the only conversation in reference to what you are going -- what you are here for was with Douglas?
- 13 A Yes.

2 1

- Q Did you have conversation about what was anticipated that you would testify to?
- A I don't understand.
- 17 | Q Okay.

THE COURT: Well, let's approach it this way in the interest of time: Did anyone ask you, whether it was Mr. Senecal or anyone else, what you were going to say when you got on the witness stand?

THE WITNESS: No. This is -- no.

BY MR. CONNOLLY:

Q Sir, did you have at any point -- did you at any

point tell your brother Patrick or your brother Luis Senecal that you had conversation with 2 3 Douglas --MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, excuse me. Any statement that he made outside of court to 5 anybody is by definition hearsay and I object. 6 MR. CONNOLLY: I am asking for the 7 fact, not the content. THE COURT: Let's limit it to the fact 10 about the topic of this case. MR. CONNOLLY: Yes, sir. 11 BY MR. CONNOLLY: 12 Can you answer that question, sir? 13 Oh, finish it. 14 MR. CONNOLLY: I am sorry, may I have 15 a read back on that? I am sorry. 16 (Thereupon, the pending question was 17 read by the court reporter.) 18 BY MR. CONNOLLY: 19 20 In reference to the matters about the death of Sarah Cherry. 21 Not a conversation. He would say things and 22 23 wait for an answer and I said nothing. And that was Patrick? 24

25

That was Patrick.

- 1 | Q What about Luis?
- 2 A No, not Luis, no.
 - Q It's your testimony then, sir, that at no point did you indicate to either Patrick or Luis that you had a conversation with Douglas involving the death of Sarah Cherry?
- 7 A No.

4

5

6

8

9

- Q Did you at any point have a conversation as it related to the death of Sarah Cherry with any private investigator from the State of Maine?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 | Q How often was that?
- 13 A Too many times.
- 14 | Q Do you know how many approximately?
- 15 | A I couldn't tell you -- 20 maybe.
- 16 Q During the course of those -- were they
 17 telephone calls or personal contacts?
- 18 | A Telephone calls.
- 19 Q During the course of those discussions did you
 20 indicate whether or not you had conversation
 21 with Douglas Senecal in reference to the death
 22 of Sarah Cherry?
- 23 A It was talk about it.
- Q Did you express concern to the private investigator at any time that if you provided

testimony or a statement that you would be in 1 physical fear of your own life? 2 MR. WRIGHT: Object. Again, it's an 3 out-of-court statement. THE WITNESS: No, never, never. 5 THE COURT: The answer may stand. 6 7 MR. CONNOLLY: I have no further questions. 8 CROSS EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. WRIGHT: 10 Just one or two questions. You understood, 11 Mr. Senecal, from talking with me that the court 12 had asked me to arrange for you to come to 13 14 Maine? 15 Yes. 16 And therefore you came voluntarily? Yes. 17 A And you were given a subpoena by Mr. Connolly 18 this morning? 19 Just a few minutes ago. 20 Had he spoken to you about what he wished to 21 22 question you about before you came in the courtroom? 23 24 Α No. Do you know the name of the private investigator 25 Q

- 1 | with whom you spoke?
- 2 A I think I know how -- I might not know how to 3 pronounce it. Ron either Morin or Morin.
- 4 Q And you say that you spoke with him I think you 5 said too many times?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q Could you explain what you mean.
- 8 A He used to call all the time.
- 9 Q Speak right into the microphone.
- 10 A He used to call all the time.
- 11 Q How often?
- 12 A Oh, sometimes a couple of times a day.
- 13 Q And this was during what time period?
- 14 A What time period? That's a tough one. I was
- drunk. I am an alcoholic. Most of the time he
- 16 called I was drinking.
- 17 Q So you can't remember when it was, how long ago?
- 18 A I can remember some.
- 19 Q In any event you have as I understand it no fear 20 for your own safety or well-being --
- 21 A None whatsoever. No, sir, none whatsoever.
- 22 Q -- with respect to Douglas Senecal or any other
- member of your family?
- 24 A No.
- 25 | Q I have tried to cover everybody.

- 1 | A Yes.
- Q And when the private investigator, Mr. Morin/
 Morin spoke with you too many times you said it
 was talked about. What --
- A He asked me questions and I wouldn't know how to answer him because I didn't know anything about it. That's all I can tell you.
- 8 Q You didn't know anything about the death of 9 Sarah Cherry?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 | Q You were in Virginia in July of 1988 you said?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q So you had obviously no -- you weren't here to
 14 be paying attention to any of it?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q And I guess the question is at no time did
 17 anybody tell you anything about any involvement
 18 of anybody in the case --
- 19 A No.
- 20 | Q -- is that correct?
- 21 A That's correct.
- MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, sir.
- MR. CONNOLLY: Briefly, your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. CONNOLLY:

1

- Mr. Senecal, you indicated that there were some 3 memory gaps on the dates because of drinking? 4
- 5 Probably all of it.
- 6 So it's fair to say -- you are sober now, right?
- 7 A I believe it, yes.
- You worked hard to get there, I am sure? 8 Q
- Yeah, yes, I did. I have been -- I haven't had 9 A 10 anything since November the 30th, past.
- 11 Congratulations.
- 12 Α Thank you.
- 13 During that time when you were drinking, though, things are different for you than they are when 14 15 you are sober, isn't that so?
- Yeah. 16
- 17 Q Very much so?
- 18 A Yeah.
- One of the things is that you don't have as good 19 20 control over your emotions as you would
- 21 otherwise; is that right?
- 22 Yes.
- The same with your memory, it is not quite as 23 24 sharp as when you are not drinking?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

- Q Were there periods of time in which you would go through memory lapses or blackouts or what have you?
 - A I wouldn't say so, no.
- 5 | Q At times things would become fuzzier?
- 6 A Just for a few hours.
 - Q And during the period from 1988 until November 30th of last year off and on were there times when you were -- had difficulty with your alcohol?
- 11 A Yes.

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 12 Q So during the time frame from the incident until
 13 November 30th there are times in which your
 14 memory is less reliable than at other times?
- 15 | A That's right.
 - Q Similarly, sir, your memory is not just fuzzier for those times but it would be fuzzy about things that you learned during those times or that you may have said during those times; is that right?
 - A I suppose so.
- MR. CONNOLLY: Thank you, sir. That's all the questions I have.

24

1 RECROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. WRIGHT: 3 Mr. Senecal, let's not play games, at any time whether you were drinking or not drinking did 5 Douglas Senecal ever tell you that he killed 6 Sarah Cherry? No. 7 A 8 Are you sure about that? Α Absolutely sure. 9 MR. WRIGHT: That's all. 10 11 MR. CONNOLLY: Your Honor, I have no 12 further questions at this time. I would request 13 since this witness is subpoenaed that he remain 14 subject to the Court's jurisdiction until the completion of the evidentiary portion of the 15 16 hearing to be recalled. It may be necessary that he is subject to that process. 17 THE COURT: Very well, if you will 18 19 remain here in the vicinity until we tell you 20 it's okay to leave, okay, sir? 21 THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. CONNOLLY: The defense calls

(Witness excused).

Patrick Senecal.

22

23

24

THE CLERK: Please raise your right 1 hand and state your name. 2 THE WITNESS: Patrick Senecal. 3 Thereupon, 4 PATRICK SENECAL 5 was called as a witness and, after having been 6 duly sworn, was examined and testified as 7 8 follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. CONNOLLY: 10 Good morning, sir. Could you again state your 11 name nice and loud for the record. 12 My name is Patrick Senecal. 13 How old are you, sir? 14 50 years old. 15 And what do you do for work? 16 I'm a carpenter and also I run a store. . . 17 And where do you live? 18 Q Oxford, Maine. 19 A 20 How long have you lived in Maine? Q 21 All my life. A 22 Are you married? Q Yes, I am. 23 A 24 And your wife's name? Millie Senecal. 25 A

- 1 | Q And do you have children?
- 2 A Yes, I do.
- 3 | Q How many?
- 4 A Four.
- 5 | Q And their names?
- 6 A Brenda, David, Matthew and Amanda.
- 7 | Q Do you have brothers and sisters?
- 8 A Yes, I do.
- 9 | Q And how many?
- 10 A I have four brothers and four sisters.
- 11 Q One of your brothers is named Ed?
- 12 A Yes, he is.
- 13 | Q And can you characterize your relationship with
- 14 Ed as close or not close or what?
- 15 A We were very close.
- 16 | Q Were or are, sir?
- 17 A I hope to think that we still are, but. . .
- 18 | Q It's fair to say, sir, that there's tension
- 19 within the family?
- 20 A Yes, there is.
- 21 | Q And without going into detail of that tension
- does the tension in the family revolve around
- 23 Douglas Senecal?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q It's fair to say, is it not, sir, that you have

- no love loss for Douglas?
- 2 A That's true.
- 3 Q And these relate to personal matters within the
- 4 family?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q How well do you know Douglas?
- 7 A Quite well.
- 8 Q Prior to the incident that we are here about in
- 9 July of 1988, were you close with Douglas?
- 10 A No.
- 11 | Q Did you become closer to him in the time between
- this incident and the time you came to court?
- 13 A No.
- 14 | Q I am sorry, are you close or not close to
- 15 Douglas --
- 16 A I am not close to Doug.
- 17 | Q Are you close to Eddie, though?
- 18 A Close to Eddie, yes.
- 19 | Q In the family you are the youngest?
- 20 A Yes, I am.
- 21 | Q And Ed is two above you?
- 22 A Yes, I believe.
- 23 | Q And you grew up in the same household?
- 24 A No.
- 25 | O You did not?

- 1 A No.
- Q Have you had opportunities over the years, though, to form a closer bond with him?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And did you live with -- did you live together 6 at any point when you were adults?
- 7 A He stayed with me, you know, for a while.
- 8 | Q Do you recollect when that was?
- 9 A Just recently he came from Virginia and stayed with me for a couple of weeks.
- 11 Q In reference to Douglas, did Douglas ever say
 12 anything?
- A When he was in his teens, yes, he stayed at my house.
- 15 | Q But not recently?
- 16 | A Not recently.
- 17 Q When was the last time you saw or spoke with Douglas?
- 19 | A About 11 years ago.
- 20 | Q Have you had conversation --
- 21 A Correction, he called me on the phone.
- Q Okay, you have spoken to him on the phone since that 11 years?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q But before that there had been a hiatus, a gap

```
of a long time?
1
 2
        Yes.
     Α
        When did you have conversation last with
 3
        Douglas?
 4
 5
        He called and threatened me on the phone.
                  MR. WRIGHT: I object.
 6
7
                  THE COURT: Sustained.
                  MR. WRIGHT: Not responsive.
9
        BY MR. CONNOLLY:
        Nice and slow. You received a phone call from
10
11
        him at some point, sir?
12
        Yes.
     Α
13
        Approximately when was that?
        Right around the time I would say about three to
14
        four -- three and a half years ago.
15
        Do you have some kind of incident to put into
16
17
        context the time -- so far as time is concerned?
        When they came on the news about Sarah Cherry.
18
        So this would be in 1988 to the best of your
19
     Q
20
        recollection?
21
        Yes.
     Α
        Was this around the time of the incident or the
22
        time of the trial, if you know?
23
24
        It was -- I don't know if it was the trial or
```

exactly what it was. I tried to distance myself

- right away from him but it was in that period
 where it was in the trial, he was being
 investigated, it was in the trial.
 - Q So there was a time when his name became associated at least in the media with --
- 6 | A With --

- 7 | Q -- with the case?
- 8 A With the case.
- 9 Q If that were -- if I were to tell you that that
 10 occurred only after the trial, would that place
 11 things within some kind of time frame for you?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q And you indicated that you received a phone call from him?
- 15 | A Yes, I did.
- 16 | Q And did you recognize his voice?
- 17 A Yes, I did.
- 18 | Q How did you recognize his voice?
- A Well, he's got kind of a distinctive voice,
- 20 different from the rest of us.
- 21 | Q And he called you directly?
- 22 A He called me, yes.
- 23 | Q And spoke with you?
- 24 A Yes. It was brief and short but he made his point.

```
The conversation was one-sided? Did you talk
 1
     Q
        back with him?
 2
 3
        It was mostly one-sided.
        We will go slow here, okay?
 5
             Do you remember what he said without saying
        it?
             Yes or no?
 6
        Yes.
 7
        Was the phone call in reference to the
        accusation that he was involved in this case?
 9
                  MR. WRIGHT: I object.
10
                  THE COURT: Sustained.
11
        BY MR. CONNOLLY:
12
13
        Don't answer this question until the court has a
        chance. Can you tell us what he said?
14
                  MR. WRIGHT: Object.
15
                  MR. CONNOLLY: I will get to the next
16
17
        question.
        BY MR. CONNOLLY:
18
19
        What did he say? Don't answer.
20
                  MR. WRIGHT: Object.
                  THE COURT: Sustained.
21
                  MR. CONNOLLY: May we approach
22
23
        sidebar?
24
                  (Thereupon, the following sidebar
        conference took place:)
25
```

.,

2 1

MR. CONNOLLY: Your Honor, my next question would be to elicit from him what was said. My understanding of the objection is that it is hearsay. Is that the objection?

MR. WRIGHT: Yes.

MR. CONNOLLY: My response to that objection would be that the statement made is a statement against interest, that the statement against interest would be an exception to the hearsay rule and therefore none hearsay by definition and admissible.

I am always willing to be reeducated by counsel or by the Law Court, but in order to get a declaration against interest that as an exception to the hearsay rule by definition it must be an out-of-court statement made by the person affecting the person's interest and used against that person as -- so as to affect that person's in-court testimony. That's the very purpose of the exception to the hearsay rule.

MR. CONNOLLY: My understanding, sir, is that an out-of-court declaration which is against an interest either moral, social or penal which would likely subject the declarant

to an adverse potential holding, either social, moral or political or criminal, is not hearsay and is admissible by a person with personal knowledge to establish independently the truth of the matter asserted.

If I may --

THE COURT: Give me Field and Murray -- never mind.

MR. WRIGHT: I have it on my desk. 365.

THE COURT: Well, the exception to the hearsay rule that we are talking about here is under 804 (b) (3), statements against interest.

MR. CONNOLLY: Correct.

THE COURT: Statements against penal interest, and it's discussed in 804.4 of Field and Murray found in the volume that I have at page 377, and it sets down preliminary safeguards, and they say that they discuss the dangers of allowing in statements made by someone who has allegedly confessed to a crime in the trial of the defendant, in other words, some third person having admitted having committed the crime.

As I understand what is being sought here

R

is a statement from Patrick Senecal to the affect that Douglas Senecal made some kind of a threat against him.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CONNOLLY: Yes, sir. If the court would allow me I will make an offer of proof as to the statement.

THE COURT: I think you better.

MR. CONNOLLY: The offer of proof would be that if the witness were allowed to answer the question he would say to the affect that the exact language to the effect however that Douglas said you better not testify against me, that you have a young daughter too, that after he received that call he knew it was Douglas, that he immediately understood the communication to be a reference to his young daughter, Brenda, who based upon his experience and background had a legitimate reason to fear Douglas Senecal in reference to her, that was the end of that message, that he was afraid as a result of that message and he was concerned for his -- the well-being of his daughter, Brenda.

THE COURT: But let's assume for the purposes of this discussion, we will put this thing in context, if that call had not been made

to Patrick what else could Patrick have testified to?

MR. CONNOLLY: On this issue I believe that he could not testify to anything except that he knows from personal knowledge that Douglas' reputation, Douglas' background -- and he is concerned and afraid of Douglas, and further that he knows from his own personal experience that Douglas has had involvement with his daughter, Brenda, and that she if necessary can be brought forward to testify as to those underlying facts.

THE COURT: Well, let's assume that he could testify to the whole thing.

MR. CONNOLLY: Yes, sir.

there is nothing by way of personal knowledge that Patrick Senecal has, either by observations or by statements by way of confessions or admissions by Douglas Senecal to him, that Douglas Senecal has had any involvement in Sarah Cherry's kidnapping, rape, torture and murder.

MR. CONNOLLY: If I may, sir, except insofar as the context in which this statement was made. If you mean other than this, you are

correct, but the context in which this statement was made is clearly the response to the news articles in which this man was finally released after the Law Court lifted the order, that it occurred at that time, that he said that you have a young daughter too, the clear implication being that it was a threat to the young daughter relating to what happened to Sarah Cherry.

THE COURT: Let me play the devil's advocate here. I don't mean to shut Eric out.

MR. WRIGHT: That's all right.

MR. CONNOLLY: I understand.

THE COURT: But at that point his name had been released, okay? His name had been released upon an order from Chief Justice

McKusick when the Gannett Publishing Company was -- sued to demand the contents of those DHS records, and those DHS records pertained to Douglas Senecal's involvement with Jackie Crosman, and I was the one who gave the state the deadline to produce Jackie Crosman in court in Sagadahoc County, the case was going to be dismissed because Joe Field was then representing Douglas Senecal, you either try my client, bring him forward, you have these

charges against him or bounce him, okay?

MR. CONNOLLY: Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right, so his name is released in that context, okay?

MR. CONNOLLY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Prior to that time, assuming for purposes of argument that Patrick Senecal had known or someone had told him that Doug Senecal had been fooling around with Patrick's youngest daughter, Brenda, okay, all of a sudden Douglas' name appears in the paper as someone who likes little girls for sexual purposes, that being the case if Douglas Senecal was aware that Brenda had made certain accusations against him to her own father Douglas Senecal could just have well called up her father, Patrick, and said you have a young daughter too and had -- could have been taken in the context of if you decide to bring forward charges and start making statements about my sexual preferences of young girls, true or not, then, oh, you have a young daughter.

All I am saying is we are trying to get to the indicia of trustworthiness that the Law Court is speaking about here.

MR. CONNOLLY: Yes, sir, I understand that.

THE COURT: Now --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CONNOLLY: I am sorry.

THE COURT: Now, in the context of Douglas Senecal having made statements by way of an admission, they were certainly inculpatory statements as they would relate to his conduct vis-a-vis Sarah Cherry, that is a different issue altogether, but all we have here at this point in front of -- and the discussion of Field and Murray talking about the safeguards starting with State versus O'Clair, 1972, 292 A.2d 186, or State versus Gervais where they open the door to discuss this as it applies to a statement against penal interest, and 317 A.2d 796, a 1974 case, going on through State versus Holt, 1978 case, 391 A.2d 822, and then going on and bringing it up to 1980, State versus Barden, B-A-R-D-E-N, 432 A.2d 404, 1981 case, and State versus Collins, 1983 case, 456 A.2d 362. This is not the type of statement against penal interest if you could arguably say that it was a statement against penal interest that the Law Court is talking about in this context, but as I

say to repeat myself again, to make my position perfectly clear on this, I have not been given any offer of proof for any statement made by Douglas Senecal to this person, to this witness, because this is all we are dealing with right now is his Uncle Patrick --

MR. CONNOLLY: That's right.

that he knew anything about -- that he, being Douglas Senecal, had any evidence or made any statements that he had anything to do with the death of Sarah Cherry, and in the absence of that I am not going to carve out an exception here under Rule 804 (d) (4) -- (b) (4) -- (b) (3) -- I am sorry, 804 (b) (3) as to this, and the objection will be sustained.

MR. CONNOLLY: I take exception and it's as simple at that.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. CONNOLLY: All right, sir.

(Sidebar conference concluded).

BY MR. CONNOLLY:

Q Turning to other matters, you had an opportunity over the course of the past two years, three years or so since 1988, sir, to have

- conversations with your brother, Eddie Senecal?
- 2 | A Yes, I did.
 - Q And you have been to his house or the place where he is living in North Carolina?
- 5 A Yes.

4

6

7

- Q And also down in Virginia, did you go down there or did you just speak with him when he was there?
- 9 A In Virginia, yes.
- 10 | Q You actually visited, went down there?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And you indicated on Direct that there was a
 13 time when Eddie lived with you or stayed with
 14 you for a month or so?
- 15 A Yep.
- 16 | Q During those times was Eddie drinking?
- 17 A Very heavy.
- 18 Q And did you notice a change in Eddie when he drank?
- 20 A A lot of change. Completely different person he was.
- Q And would you and he have conversations during those periods of times?
- 24 A Yes, we would.
- 25 | Q And were those conversations of an intimate

```
nature on occasion?
 1
 2
        Yes.
     Α
        Both back and forth?
 3
 4
        Yes.
        And do you have specific recollection of various
     Q
        times and places as to some of the
 6
 7
        conversations?
 8
        Yes, I do.
 9
        What I would like to do, sir, is talk to you
        about a couple of things, if I may. During the
10
11
        course of some of those conversations did the
        issue of the death of Sarah Cherry come up?
12
                  MR. WRIGHT:
                                Objection.
13
14
                   THE COURT: He may answer.
                   THE WITNESS:
15
                                 Yes.
        BY MR. CONNOLLY:
16
        And was there discussion by Eddie as to Douglas
17
        Senecal?
18
        Yes, there was.
19
                  MR. WRIGHT: I object again.
                                                  This is
20
        clearly just hearsay.
21
22
                   THE COURT:
                               The general topic I will
23
        allow.
        BY MR. CONNOLLY:
24
25
        So that if Eddie Senecal said that the
```

conversation did not occur, that would be 1 2 incorrect? 3 MR. WRIGHT: Object. THE COURT: Overruled. BY MR. CONNOLLY: 5 6 You may answer that. A I didn't catch it. 7 That's all right. 8 Q If Eddie Senecal had testified that no such conversations about Douglas occurred in 10 11 reference to the death of Sarah Cherry, that would be not correct? 12 13 True. A Were there specific times in which you can 14 recollect conversations occurred between 15 16 yourself and Eddie about Douglas' involvement? 17 Yes. MR. WRIGHT: I object. 18 19 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. CONNOLLY: 20 21 Were there times, sir, in which Eddie Senecal 22 related to you statements made by Douglas Senecal? 23 24 MR. WRIGHT: Object. 25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. WRIGHT: I object. This is double hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained.

1.3

1.8

MR. CONNOLLY: Again may we approach sidebar, sir?

(Thereupon, the following sidebar conference took place:)

MR. CONNOLLY: Your Honor, this is obviously a different matter than the one we just discussed. I am not trying to go over the previous court's ruling obviously.

My offer of proof would be that if the witness were allowed to answer the question that he would indicate that he has had several conversations with Eddie Senecal, that he can remember them specifically and articulate where they took place and the circumstances under which they took place, and he would testify if allowed that on at least two occasions Eddie under circumstances which indicated trustworthiness made direct statements to Patrick that Douglas Senecal had admitted directly to Eddie that he in fact had killed Sarah Cherry.

THE COURT: The rule you are relying

on for that?

MR. CONNOLLY: I am looking right now, Judge. I am trying to use it to impeach the statement by Eddie Senecal that no such statements were ever made.

I would further say that based upon what took place at the original trial that for purposes of this offer of proof Douglas Senecal is unavailable because at the original trial he was subpoenaed, I attempted to use him, I could not use him. At that time he was not allowed to testify. Further, at page 23 of the chambers conference of March 16th, he indicated that he would claim a privilege, that because he is unavailable my only means of impeachment for what Eddie Senecal said is through Patrick Senecal, and Patrick has direct knowledge of these statements made by Eddie.

THE COURT: He has direct knowledge of those statements made by Eddie but not direct knowledge of the statements made by Doug Senecal.

MR. CONNOLLY: That's correct, I agree with the Court, I understand that, my evidence does not indicate otherwise.

THE COURT: It seems to me that -well, I am not going to say anything more at
this point.

MR. WRIGHT: First of all, the reference to the chambers conference to unavailabilities may have been my misspeaking.

As I recall, Joe Field said his client was prepared not to take any privilege with respect to any issue that we are here about now on Sarah Cherry. Secondly, both from Eddie -- Page 23 you said?

MR. CONNOLLY: The March 16th hearing, sir.

MR. WRIGHT: I thought I was talking at that point.

MR. CONNOLLY: Both of you -- my understanding is both The Court and Mr. Wright indicated that the privilege would be -- that the privilege would be used.

MR. WRIGHT: Well, I clearly misspoke because Joe Field said otherwise on behalf of his client. I had never spoken to Douglas Senecal about that issue --

MR. CONNOLLY: When I subposenaed him he told me he would take the 5th Amendment, and

I can bring him in to say that if necessary, that's what he said on the night that he was subpoensed.

MR. WRIGHT: Well, in any event that was three and a half years ago.

MR. CONNOLLY: I understand, but one of the points I believe for a new trial is to look at where things were at that time.

THE COURT: Those are statements by me on page 23.

MR. CONNOLLY: Yes, sir, that's right, which I believe is an articulation of what was happening in chambers and what the Court's understanding was as well as mine.

THE COURT: Well, without having it definitely represented on the record by Mr. Field that Douglas Senecal would either claim the 5th Amendment or would simply deny any involvement with the death of Sarah Cherry, the cases that I was referring to in the discussion of 804 (c) (4) was the very problem addressed here in State versus Fitts that was set forth --set forth at footnote 29 -- I am sorry, State versus Holt, Fitts was the proffered witness, and defense counsel proposed to quote in Fitts

when saying no when asked if he committed the burglary with which the defendant was charged, and defense counsel would then call one Leonard to testify that Fitts -- it says committed the crime to him but I think it must be a misprint, admitted the crime to him. It's clearly a statement against Fitts penal interest. lived near the scene and Fitts was on probation for breaking and entering, and quoting the court they said that those, quote, unquote, indicia of trustworthiness were insufficient of the trial justice's judgment, and the Law Court agreed that exclusion of the evidence were proper under 804 (b) (3), and so -- I mean, that's even aside from the problem that we have double hearsay here.

MR. CONNOLLY: I will address double hearsay. 805, if we can get it in otherwise I think we could say that we could get it in so the double hearsay is of less concern.

MR. WRIGHT: But --

MR. CONNOLLY: If I may argue for a moment.

MR. WRIGHT: Sure.

MR. CONNOLLY: The Court's concern

23

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

seems to be that somehow this would make the whole process less trustworthy or reliable, which is ultimately the most important issue in a motion for a new trial. The defense in the case has not had the opportunity and does not have the ability otherwise to be able to establish these links, that the -- that doesn't obviously allow inadmissible evidence to get in, but at the same time insofar as the testimony that we are eliciting does have indications of credibility, and I would refer to the offer of proof that those are definitely probative, that they are definitely material to the issue ultimately of whether or not the wrong person was convicted in the case.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

g

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We can assume for the purpose right now that the witness will be able to state in a condition such that reliability will be established that the statement was made, that it clearly is against penal interest, clearly within the context of Sarah Cherry, and that as a result of that the court clearly has discretion to let it in. You can choose not to absolutely, I think that it's not ultimately something the Law Court is likely to do me any

good on, but at the same time I have been stopped from every inch and every avenue of proceeding in this when I have tried. We still have a file that's been impounded, I still have witnesses that won't talk to me, I have witnesses that I subpoensed to come in and say things that I can show are not true.

2.5

A defendant has a constitutional right to present a fair and adequate defense, the rules of evidence should not work to deny basic fairness when other indications on reliability are there that ultimately within the court's discretion this kind of evidence could be allowed in.

THE COURT: Well, addressing in a moment my discretion in this thing, under your best-case scenario right now if allowed to testify Patrick Senecal would say that his brother made statements to him when he was drunk.

MR. CONNOLLY: In part, yes. Yes, sir, that's right.

THE COURT: And by his own testimony

Eddie Senecal is a totally different person when
he is drinking.

MR. CONNOLLY: That's correct. And if I may, that's part of my indication of reliability and credibility.

THE COURT: Reliability when a person is an alcoholic blackout -- statements when a person is in an alcoholic blackout are reliable and trustworthy?

MR. CONNOLLY: Absolutely, insofar as they were repeated, repeated under circumstances of emotion, that they were repeated under circumstances in which they were swore to in the sense of telling Patrick never to reveal this otherwise he was afraid that he would be killed, under circumstances where it happened time and again.

My analysis would be that that is the kind of evidence that is trustworthy, not a situation where somebody can come into court and basically be protected from the beginning to the end, not be allowed to have to -- that basically came up with Douglas Senecal -- can be protected from having said anything.

THE COURT: Response.

MR. WRIGHT: Well, I was going to make the same points the court just made as to

7 8 9

2

3

5

6

10

15

16

14

17 18 19

20

23

2 4

circumstances under which these statements come in. Eddie Senecal himself, the first witness, testified he was an alcoholic and there was lots he couldn't remember during times that he was drinking, this witness has indicated that his brother -- Patrick has indicated his brother Eddie was drinking -- I forget how he phrased it -- virtually all the time when he was visiting and it was during that time that the statements were made. To say that that is the essence of the reliability turns that concept.

As for the defendant's right to a fair trial, I have started into a fair trial.

Mr. Connolly does not have a right to develop something outside the bounds of the rules of evidence, it's that simple.

Now, he says the witness is stopped at every turn. Well, he has been stopped at every turn because the evidence just isn't there, that's why he has been stopped.

Now, as for Rule 805 I still haven't heard -- I still haven't heard how both prongs, let alone the first prong, but how both prongs of how Rule 805 are both totems of the pole -- under what hearsay objection do the statements

of Eddie Senecal come in?

Finally if they do come in for such reason as impeachment they don't come in as substantive evidence but only impeachment. They are not substantive evidence. They are merely impeaching, they are not substantive.

> MR. CONNOLLY: If I may respond.

First the real world is such, Judge, and I know you see alcoholics in front of the court every day, they operate in an alcoholic's fear, that is how they listen, that's how they remember, that's how they act. If this person was an alcoholic for a period of years, the fact that he was intoxicated at the time I would say is a indication particularly when the witness will state that he -- that Eddie explained he learned about this during a drinking spree between Doug and Eddie, that that's how the evidence came in, that's how Eddie learned about it during --

> MR. WRIGHT: So --

MR. CONNOLLY: Let me finish.

-- that that's the kind of evidence in the real world that really does occur. Two guys sit down, they get hammered, they cry together, they

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

talk and they tell things. That's reliable, you don't tell secrets of the sole when you are sober and that's why in part sometimes people use alcohol to loosen up.

Insofar as the double hearsay argument, if it is a statement against interest it is not hearsay, we meet the first prong of the hearsay objection, the second part would be discretionary with the Court, and that the court has to rule to let it in.

MR. WRIGHT: Under what theory is the second prong of 805 satisfied? It is not. Now we know from the offer of proof that both Eddie and Doug were drunk when the statements were made, even less reliability in the statements.

MR. CONNOLLY: At least one statement that's absolutely true, both were drunk, I know.

MR. WRIGHT: On top of which Rule 804 requires unavailability which has not been shown.

THE COURT: Well, all I am saying is that I have quoted the language of State versus Holt as relates to the statements made by Fitts, the intent to call him and if called he would deny it, then I do not find under the offer of

proof that the test of reliability has been met and those statements are excluded.

MR. CONNOLLY: Yes, sir.

(Sidebar conference concluded).

MR. CONNOLLY: No further questions.

MR. WRIGHT: I have no questions.

THE COURT: Thank you, you may step down, sir.

(Witness excused.)

THE COURT: Let me explain what's going on here, folks. We are not trying to hide anything from you, the whole purpose of the sidebar conference was to take place outside the presence of the witness. Over here were statements made by Edward Senecal and the content within which the offer of proof was made was that certain statements were made by Edward Senecal to his uncle Patrick -- to his brother Patrick, I apologize, at a time when Edward Senecal was drinking, and drinking heavily, and what we were talking about here is a Rule Of Evidence under exceptions to the hearsay rule at a time when the delcarant is otherwise unavailable, and we are talking about statements against interest, statements against penal

24

interest, and these statements would be ones 1 that were reported by Edward Senecal to Patrick 2 Senecal dealing with statements made by Douglas 3 Senecal, and in order for statements made by a person against penal interest in this case the 5 penal interest would have to have been the penal 6 7 interest of Douglas Senecal, that they would have to pass the trustworthiness test, and I 8 have made a finding that based upon the circumstances in which these statements were 10 made by Edward Senecal to Patrick Senecal at a 11 time when Edward Senecal was drinking that they 12 do not pass what our Supreme Judicial Court has 13 laid down as the trustworthiness test and 14 15 therefore those statements have been excluded. Mr. Connolly. 16 17

MR. CONNOLLY: Your honor, may we take the morning break at this time?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Brief recess.)

THE COURT: Mr. Connolly.

MR. CONNOLLY: The defense calls Robert Lapierre.

THE CLERK: Please state your name.

THE WITNESS: Bob Lapierre.

23

18

19

20

21

22

1 Thereupon, ROBERT LAPIERRE 2 was called as a witness and, after having been 3 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 5 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CONNOLLY: 7 It's very early in the morning for you, 8 9 Mr. Lapierre, being on California time? Pardon? 10 11 It's very early in the morning for you, California time? 12 It sure is. 13 Α So good morning. 14 Q Good morning. 15 Α Mr. Lapierre, can you spell your last name? 16 Q L-A-P-I-E-R-R-E. 17 Α It's my understanding that you deny that you 18 made any statements to Margaret Senecal about 19 20 knowing things about Doug Senecal's involvement in the Sarah Cherry death; is that right? 21 22 Α Correct. With your vague interpretation, 23 correct. Yeah, yeah. Is it your testimony that you never said such 24 25 things to Margaret?

- 1 A Right.
- 2 | Q You were, were you not --
- 3 A Said what things? If you could tell me what
- 4 things then I could -- God, this is loud.
- 5 THE COURT: Push it away a little
- 6 bit.
- 7 BY MR. CONNOLLY:
- 8 | Q Let's start from the beginning, Mr. Lapierre.
- 9 How old are you?
- 10 A 48.
- 11 | Q What do you do for work?
- 12 A I'm a writer.
- 13 | Q Where do you live?
- 14 A California.
- 15 | Q Are you married, have any children?
- 16 A Nope. Widowed.
- 17 | Q Do you know a person by the name of Douglas
- 18 | Senecal, sir?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 | Q How did you come to know Douglas?
- 21 | A I have known Doug since he was wearing
- 22 knee-quarter pants down at Allen Pond, he had a
- 23 cottage next to ours.
- 24 | Q So you grew up together?
- 25 A Uh-huh. For part of it, yeah.

- 1 | Q How old are you compared to he?
- 2 A I am 48.
- 3 Q Similar?
- 4 A I never had a birthday party for him so -- no, 5 he is younger than I am.
- 6 Q How would you characterize the relationship,
 7 friendly or close or how would you chacterize
 8 it?
- 9 A It's still on a talking basis. I haven't seen 10 him for a while but I have lived with them.
- 11 Q You lived with them? Them meaning who, sir?
- 12 A Doug and his wife and Ike and Erin.
- 13 Q Did you come to know Sarah during that time?
- 14 A I have never met Sarah. I met Hillary but not 15 Sarah.
- 16 Q You also know Jackie?
- 17 A Yes.
- Q And when did you come to live with that family, with the Senecals, sir?
- 20 A Well, I guess the first time was about maybe in '80 -- '87, summer of '87.
- Q For how long did you live with them at that time?
- 24 A That summer I was there for about six weeks.
- 25 | Q Then you left and you lived somewhere else?

- 1 A Yeah, I just -- it is a routine of mine to come
- 2 to Maine in the summertimes, I like to do that.
- 3 | Q And you were living in California then as well?
- 4 A Yeah.
- 5 | Q So you went back to California?
- 6 A Yeah.
- 7 | Q Did you come the following summer of '88?
- 8 In July of 1988 you lived with the family,
- 9 the Senecal family?
- 10 A I was there part of the time, yeah.
- 11 | Q Do you know from what time to what time, sir?
- 12 A Not exactly, no.
- 13 | Q Approximately then?
- 14 A I'd say May through the beginning of July.
- 15 | Q Of '88?
- 16 | A '88, yeah.
- 17 | Q Where was it that you were living?
- 18 | A In the house, and then there was also a cabin
- 19 too.
- 20 | Q You lived in both places?
- 21 A Uh-huh, yeah.
- 22 | Q The cabin is kind of a retreat place or
- 23 | something?
- 24 A Sort of, yeah.
- 25 | Q Is it nearby there?

1 A Yeah, close by.

6

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q Where was your room, in the main house or the cabin or back and forth?
- 4 A Most of the time I stayed in the main house upstairs.
 - Q Do you recollect the date when you left Maine to go to California?
- A It was the day after the 4th of July, I believe
 it was either the 5th or the 6th. Whatever day

 Jennifer Dox came to the house, that's --
- 11 | Q Let's -- Jennifer Dox, do you know who that is?
- 12 A No. I just know the name.
- Q But that is a time -- a piece of information
 that helps you put things into a time context?
 - A Well, I remember because you don't forget the 4th of July, and Doug and Maureen and Ike and Erin always had a goodbye Bob party and they would put banners on the house and put my name up there. We had a party every time I got ready to go back at the end of the summer or if it was earlier they would have a party for me.
 - Q And you recollect such a party?
- 23 | A Pardon?
- 24 | Q And you recollect such a party?
- 25 A They had one that year for me.

- 1 Q And to the best of your memory that would be immediately after the 4th of July?
- 3 A Uh-huh.
- 4 Q And what time of day did that take place,
- 5 approximately?
- 6 A The party?
- 7 | Q Yes, sir.
- 8 A All day long, yeah.
- 9 Q So from what time to what time?
- 10 A I think some of the guests left at probably 6 or 11 7 o'clock.
- 12 Q That was on the 4th or that was on the day after?
- 14 A No, I left the day after, so it was on the 4th.
- 15 Q So the party itself was all day on the 4th and
- then you believe you -- the 5th is the day you
- 17 believe you left?
- 18 A Yeah.
- 19 Q If I tell you that the 4th in 1988 was on a
 20 Monday then Tuesday is --
- 21 A Tuesday would have been the day I left.
- 22 | Q And you left early in the morning, sir, or --
- 23 | A I left about 9:30, 10 o'clock.
- 24 | Q Plus or minus?
- 25 A Knowing my travel habits I am not a person that

- starts at 5 o'clock in the morning and then has
 to sleep at 3:00 in the afternoon. I leave
 later and drive later in the evening.
 - Q Now, at the time that you were living with the Senecals from May to July of 1988 were you aware that there was another house that Doug was trying to sell?
- 8 A I know there was a house that the Babines lived in.
- 10 | Q Yes, sir, that's the one I am referring to.
- 11 A Uh-huh.

5

6

- 12 Q You are aware of that?
- 13 A I painted the house before, the interior. I had done some work there.
- Q And were you familiar with the Babines, Richard and Pamela?
- 17 | A Yes, yeah.
- Q Were you also familiar at any point with anyone by the name of Paradis?
- 20 A Not -- that's the last name I assume?
- 21 Q Yes, last name.
- A No. First names would help. I remember Babine because there aren't a lot of Babines.
- 24 | Q Gerald Paradis, sir?
- 25 A What was his wife's name?

- Q I believe Barbara.
- MR. WRIGHT: Denise.
- A Oh, Denise. I know Denise and her -- I think

 her husband was -- maybe some people called him

 Frenchy.
 - Q And you remember seeing them around?
- 7 A Yes.

6

8

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Do you remember seeing around --
- 9 A They -- yeah, they were in the house, they were 10 the last ones that I saw occupying the house.
- 11 Q So by the time that you left on or about July
 12 5th they were pretty much in place at that
 13 point?
 - A I think so. I will tell you, there were the Babines and then Frenchy and his wife, yeah.

 It's very vague, they both occupied the house, and to be honest with you I couldn't give you their tenancy dates.
 - Q You didn't consort with them, you weren't friendly with them?
 - A No, I wouldn't. I -- I would say hi. I think I helped her pull -- pull Mr. Paradis' truck out, he was stuck in the mud somewhere on Devil's Highway and I helped pull him out.
 - Q You remember that in that kind of contemporary

time frame?

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 2 A Yeah, I remember that.
 - Q Sir, you -- is it fair to say that when you were with the Senecals you were a member of the family for most intents and purposes?
 - A No, I wasn't a member of the family, even in -for your purpose, no, I wasn't a member of the
 family. They were very nice to me, they were
 kind to me. I had to have emergency surgery and
 Maureen Senecal brought me to the hospital and
 she cared for me after. They did that sort of
 thing for me, those people. She was very, very
 kind. It was a very nice gesture on her part.
 - Q Were you aware, sir, of dynamics happening in the family at the time, were you able to observe dynamics in the family?
- 17 A As -- explain that a little further.
- 18 | Q Sure.
- 19 A Dynamics is a pretty general world.
- 20 | Q I see. Are you able to know who Jackie is?
- 21 | A Sure, I know Jackie.
- Q Now, did Jackie live if that house for a period of time?
- 24 A Off and on, yeah. Between there and the 25 Crosmans.

- Q How often would you say that she was there
 during the period of time that's relevant, May
 of '88 to July of '88?
 - A When I was there most often she would stay at the Crosmans, I think, because they let me have Jackie's bedroom and she would, you know, go home to be with her dad, stepmom.
 - Q And when she would come back she would stay at the house, though?
- 10 A Uh-huh, yeah.

5

6

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 11 Q And how often was this that she was staying 12 there at the house?
 - A Sometimes she would stay for a week, other times she would be there for two weeks, three weeks.

 She had a boyfriend at the time and they were often gone.
 - Q Were there other children in the family that would sometimes stay over at the Senecal residence?
- 20 A The only other person that stayed over any time
 21 when I was there was Penny (phonetic). She
 22 stayed there.
- 23 | Q And her real name is?
- 24 | A Jessica.
- 25 | Q At the --

```
That was on weekends. School days she was
 1
 2
        home.
 3
        Excuse me.
 4
             Sir, during the course of going away, the
        goodbye Bob party on July 4th, were their
 5
 6
        children present at that time?
 7
        Come again?
               The July 4th party were there other
 8
     Q
        family members present --
 9
        Erin and Ike --
10
        Besides those two?
11
12
     A
        Jessica, yeah.
13
        So Jessica was present at that time?
     Q
14
        Uh-huh, yep.
     Α
15
        Were you yourself aware of her baby-sitting
16
        arrangements?
                  MR. WRIGHT:
17
                                Object.
18
        Jackie or Jessica?
19
                  MR. WRIGHT: Excuse me, I object.
                  THE COURT:
                               Sustained.
20
        BY MR. CONNOLLY:
21
22
        Were you aware, sir -- strike that.
23
             Sir, during the period of time prior --
24
        just prior to your leaving you had an
        opportunity to live in the household and to be
25
```

- aware of some of the things that were happening
 in the household; is that right?
 - A I lived there.

4

10

11

23

24

- Q Did you eat meals together for example?
- 5 A Yes, I prepared meals.
- Q You had a conversation with a variety of the members, the wife, Maureen, Doug and some of the children?
 - A We played cards, we talked, we watched movies together, you know, television and all that, yeah, went clam digging together.
- 12 Q So you were aware, were you not, at this time
 13 that there was a criminal proceeding involving
 14 Doug?
- 15 A I had read it in the paper.
- 16 | 0 That's all?
- A Right, I had read it in the paper and I had had a lot of people ask me about it because I was, you know, visiting, they knew that I knew the Senecal family and all that, the names are in the paper and it was pretty difficult not to know about it.
 - Q Was that inquiry by people persistent, was there a lot of inquiry by various individuals about that issue?

- A I'd say as gossip goes in the State of Maine it
 was above average. Shamefully above average but
 it was.
 - Q Were you aware of proceedings at all in any sense of timeliness, things happening in that -- in reference to that matter?
 - A Proceedings as far as any litigation that was going to happen?
 - Q Yes, sir.
- 10 A Court trials and these kind of things?
- 11 | Q Right.

- 12 A What I read in the paper. I am a veracious reader of newspapers.
- Q Insofar as discussions with the family members,
 were you aware of things happening?
 - A It was in the paper, the paper was at the house, and I am sure it was discussed. If it happened to you, would you discuss it with, you know --
 - Q I am asking, sir, what happened to you and what you recollect, please. Do you recollect having discussions or is it just a vague memory that there was awareness of it?
 - A There was a general awareness of it and I don't think it was, you know -- I don't think Ike and Erin ever discussed, I don't think anybody -- I

- don't think they read the newspapers.
- 2 | Q You mentioned in the beginning of your testimony
- 3 about Jennifer Dox. Did you see her come to the
- 4 | Senecal residence?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q You never met her?
- 7 A No.
- 8 | Q Did you ever meet Bonnie Holladay?
- 9 A No.
- 10 | Q .Were you aware that --
- 11 A If I met Bonnie Holladay I didn't know what her
- name -- I don't know what she looks like --
- 13 | Q Were you aware that some persons from the
- 14 Department of Human Services were coming to the
- 15 house?
- 16 MR. WRIGHT: Object, if he's never met
- any of them the only way he would know is by
- 18 | somebody else, is from hearsay.
- 19 THE COURT: Maybe somebody else.
- BY MR. CONNOLLY:
- 21 | Q Were you aware of that, sir?
- 22 A No.
- 23 | Q Were you aware of sheriffs coming to the house?
- 24 | A No, I never saw any sheriffs come to the house.
- 25 One sheriff came up the road but he was going

- after me for speeding and having a headlight 1 2 Other than that there was no sheriffs around. 3 You never saw --Q At least while I was there. 5 A While you were there? 6 Q 7 A Right. You did become aware of Jennifer Dox, that's 8
- 9 correct?
- 10 A Uh-huh.
- 11 Q And that was of consequence, was it not?
- 12 A Her name was in the paper.
- 13 Q When?
- 14 A It was in the paper. I read it in the paper.
- 15 | Q When was it in the paper, sir?
- 16 A That same time, right about that same time,
- 17 yep.
- 18 | Q You are sure of that?
- 19 A I am almost absolutely sure, yep, yeah.
- 20 | Q In reference to what was her name in the paper?
- 21 A This, the whole situation, yep.
- 22 | Q What whole situation?
- 23 A What you are asking me about.
- Q Her name was in the paper in reference to Douglas Senecal?

- 1 A Yep.
- 2 Q As --
- A Not -- it was in with the Department of Human

 Services, that's the title that she was given in

 the newspaper, something like that.
- 6 | Q And it was involving the death of Sarah Cherry?
- 7 A No, no.
- 8 | Q What was it in reference to, sir?
- 9 A It was in reference to what you were asking me
 10 about, what I read in the papers. Maybe we are
 11 talking about two different things, I don't
 12 know.
- Q I am speaking about the charge that was pending at that time against Douglas.
- 15 A Right.
- 16 | Q Not against -- as it related --
- 17 A Correct.
- Q You are -- you testified earlier that she was at the house on the 5th of July?
- 20 A The day that I left.
- Q You did not see Jackie at the household on the 5th of July, the day that you left, did you?
- 23 A No.
- 24 | Q Do you know when she left the household?
- 25 A No, I don't.

- 1 Q There was concern about her, was there not, at the time that you left?
- 3 A There was concern as to where Jackie was.
- Everybody was concerned that she had run away --
- 5 Q How did you know that?
- 6 A -- two or three -- well, I heard it, you know.
- I guess you would -- you don't want to hear gossip, so.
- 9 Q But you yourself didn't know personally as to where she was?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q You were given instructions however as to where
 13 to find her; is that right?
- 14 A I was heading back to California and I was asked 15 to see if I could find her in San Diego.
- 16 Q And in fact you did find her there, did you not?
- 17 A I did find her in San Diego.
- 18 Q When she was found where was she living, sir?
- 19 A She was on the street.
- Q Do you know how long she had been on the street to that point?
- MR. WRIGHT: Object to how he knows.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
- BY MR. CONNOLLY:
- 25 | Q Can you describe what condition at the time you

1 saw her in? She was very upset, her clothing was not --2 hadn't been recently washed, she was just very, 3 very, very upset. She had been through a lot in 5 California, it was a bad time on the street, as is the situation with a lot of people that are 6 out on the street. 7 Did she manifest a concern to you about 8 9 returning to Maine? 10 MR. WRIGHT: Object. THE COURT: Mr. Connolly. 11 12 MR. CONNOLLY: I will try to ask the question so it doesn't elicit a statement. 13 withdraw the question, Judge. 14 BY MR. CONNOLLY: 15 When you saw her in California was she concerned 16 for her physical well-being, for her safety? 17 MR. WRIGHT: Object. 18 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 20 BY MR. CONNOLLY: When you saw her in California would you say 21 Q 22 that she was doing poorly or was she doing well? 23 A Poorly. Extremely poorly? 24 Q

25

A

Poorly.

- Q You had been aware from the newspaper about Jennifer Dox; is that right?
- 3 A Uh-huh.

2

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

- Q And you understood that to be a continuing situation with the Senecal family in July of 1988; is that right?
 - A I don't know if I would use the word continuing but, you know, her name was -- I guess that she was handling the state's side of the pending litigation against Doug, according to the Times Record.
- Q Other than that were there any discussions about the pending litigation within the household?
 - A No, it -- nothing except to the extent of there was something in the paper today, that type of thing, you know.
- Q So you are saying you had no conversation with Doug whatsoever about that issue?
- 19 A No, it was not something that -- no.
- 20 | Q So you kept quiet about it?
- 21 A Well, if you are not talking you are pretty quiet.
- Q Were you able to determine based upon knowing
 Doug Senecal whether or not -- are you able to
 say whether or not he was concerned about the

- pending charge? If you are able to say.
 - A I don't think it would be fair for me to make that kind of a judgment. It would be a gut reaction, I don't believe in gut reactions, I don't believe in -- you know, it's just -- it's wrong.
- 7 | Q So you can't say whether or not --
 - A I think it would bother anybody to have their name in the paper that links them with anything that is of a, you know, negative atmosphere. I am very upset with having my name appear -- appearing in every newspaper, every TV station, and that bothers me a lot.
 - Q Yes, sir. It's fair to say that kind of reaction would have been the kind that you had seen from Doug Senecal?
- 17 A Pardon?

- 18 Q That's the same kind of reaction -- was the same
 19 kind that you saw from Doug Senecal?
 - MR. WRIGHT: I object, he said he couldn't characterize it.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
- BY MR. CONNOLLY:
 - Q So the reaction that you just articulated was a normal reaction, correct?

MR. WRIGHT: I object.

THE COURT:

His answer was more

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

it has --

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. CONNOLLY: Did you see other family members react to the

speculation than it was an observation.

newspapers and what have you? MR. WRIGHT: I object. What relevance

MR. CONNOLLY: Your Honor, I am trying to establish relevance, the totality of what happened on July 5th, 6th of 1988.

THE COURT: You may answer the question.

- Not Erin and Ike, no, they didn't read the papers, and I think due to their age maybe they weren't able to read the papers. So there was no reaction from either of them. And Maureen the same reaction as me, I don't know if her name was in the paper or not -- her last name is Senecal so obviously the Senecal name was in the paper and had that kind of reaction the same as myself, the same way as I react. I guess I am speculating again.
- So you don't remember one way or another then whether Maureen Senecal had a reaction to what

was happening at the time with the newspapers 1 2 and what have you? MR. WRIGHT: I object, he just 3 4 answered the question. 5 MR. CONNOLLY: I can't understand his 6 answer. 7 THE COURT: He may answer. THE WITNESS: So you want to know --8 BY MR. CONNOLLY: 10 I want you to answer the question, sir. If I -- ask me the question again. 11 12 Yes, sir. Did you see Maureen have a reaction to what was happening with the press and with 13 14 the situation involving the allegation? Yes. 15 A 16 How would you characterize her reaction? 17 Disgust at the newspapers. So the reaction was to the newspapers, not to 18 19 the allegation? 20 Right. A Were you able to determine a reaction from first 21 22 Maureen as to the allegation as opposed to the 23 newspapers? 24 No.

As to Doug, were you able to determine the

- 1 reaction as first to the newspapers?
- 2 A No.
- 3 | Q At -- go ahead.
- 4 A No, it was the newspapers.
- 5 Q As to the allegation?
- 6 A Right, right.
- 7 | Q There was no reaction as to the allegation then?
- A It was reaction to the newspaper. This is how I found out, was from the newspaper. I wasn't
- 10 privy to --
- 11 Q There was a reaction that you observed to the
 12 allegation as opposed to what the newspapers
 13 were saying?
- 14 A There was a reaction to the newspaper.
- 15 Q My question is: Was there reaction other than
 16 to the newspaper, separate and distinct to the
 17 charge itself, to the accusation itself?
- 18 A Not that I can remember, nothing definite. I

 19 certainly couldn't quote anything.
- Q So it's your testimony then, sir, that the
 accusation made by Jackie as to Doug did not in
 any way affect the family relationship that you
 could observe?
- 24 A Right.
- 25 | Q Not at all?

- 1 | A It didn't, no.
- 2 | Q You don't know when Jackie left, though, do you?
- A I haven't the slightest idea, I couldn't even guess.
 - Q Were you aware that she was the -- the accuser at the time?
 - A I think what I read in the newspaper was something to the effect of maybe the State versus Doug Senecal, I think that was the basis of the thing, and Jackie's name was in the paper so, you know, that's -- yeah.
 - Q So as a well-read person you understood she was the one accusing Douglas Senecal of the charge in --
- 15 A I --

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

- MR. WRIGHT: I object. It's the state apparently accusing.
 - A I don't remember reading anything in the paper that was quoted, I honestly don't remember there being a quote that Jackie said this, I don't remember reading that in the paper.
 - THE COURT: The answer may stand.
- MR. CONNOLLY: Thank you.
- BY MR. CONNOLLY:
- 25 | Q Did you yourself know who was accusing Douglas

Senecal of anything at the time other than the 1 state? 2 3 No. Did you understand at all that it involved accusations of sexual contact? 5 MR. WRIGHT: I object. 6 7 THE COURT: Basis? 8 MR. WRIGHT: Lack of any firsthand 9 knowledge on this witness' part. 10 THE COURT: He knows the nature of the 11 charges, we have been going from start to finish 1.2 here about what he has been reading in the newspaper, I assume that would be the source of 13 his information, and he may answer if he knows. 14 BY MR. CONNOLLY: 15 If you know, sir, do you understand the nature 16 17 of the charge? 18 Yes, yeah. And it's your testimony that the nature of the 19 20 charge did not play a significant role in the 21 household during the period of July of 1988? Object, that's been asked 22 MR. WRIGHT: 23 and answered. 24 THE COURT: He may answer.

THE WITNESS: Come again?

MR. CONNOLLY: Yes, sir. BY MR. CONNOLLY: 2 3 It's your testimony that the nature of the charge played no role in the family in July of 1988 aside from the newspapers? 5 6 A Right. Who told you that Jackie was in San Diego? 7 MR. WRIGHT: Object. 8 Maureen. 10 THE COURT: The answer may stand. BY MR. CONNOLLY: 11 Were you aware of whether or not Doug knew of 12 Q Jackie being in San Diego? 13 I don't -- I am not sure. 14 Could have been but you just don't remember? 15 Yeah. 16 Were you aware based on any discussions whether 17 or not Sarah was a witness in that case? 18 19 MR. WRIGHT: Oh, I object. THE COURT: Sustained. 20 BY MR. CONNOLLY: 21 Did you yourself know whether or not Sarah was a 22 23 witness in that case? MR. WRIGHT: I object unless he was 24 25 there to see it.

THE COURT: Sustained. 1 BY MR. CONNOLLY: 2 In reference to Jessica, was Jessica around 3 4 during the period of July 4th and 5th, 1988? She was there for the party. 5 Was she there when you left on July 5th? Q 6 7 A No. Do you know where she was? 8 Q 9 I think she was home. I am not positive but I assume she was home. 10 So Jessica was at the Senecal residence on July 11 Q 4, 1988? 12 Uh-huh. 13 A 14 And you don't know whether she came back on the 5th or not but you know she didn't stay there 15 that evening? 16 1.7 Right, she was home. When you became aware that Jennifer Dox was at 18 the household was that something of consequence 19 to the Senecals based on what you observed? 20 Would you repeat that, please? 21 When you became aware that Jennifer Dox 22 had been to the household was it of consequence 23 to the family? 24

I don't know. I wasn't there.

25

A

- 1 Q It came to your attention, though, and it was 2 pointed out to you, was it not --
- 3 A Uh-huh.
- 4 | Q -- is that correct?
- A Mentioned on the phone, uh-huh.
- 6 Q And that's how you timed it with the time in part when you left?
- 8 A Right.

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- 9 | Q Not totally but in part?
- 10 A Right, right.
- 11 Q That information was provided to you -- how

 12 would you characterize the concern about that

 13 visit?

MR. WRIGHT: Object, first of all he hasn't said there was any concern, secondly, since he wasn't there he doesn't have any firsthand knowledge of it, it's only what somebody may have later told him.

THE COURT: Response?

MR. CONNOLLY: If he is able to determine from the context of the information he can certainly infer that there was concern, that there was worry or that there was not concern and not worry and that it was just a matter of fact and that information is of consequence.

THE COURT: You may answer. 2 THE WITNESS: Repeat the question, 3 please. 4 MR. CONNOLLY: Yes, sir. BY MR. CONNOLLY: 5 6 Were you able to determine the import of the 7 visit by Jennifer Dox based upon what was told to you in the context of the family? 8 Not that it was of any great importance either 9 10 way, just I remember the name, it was in the 11 paper, on the phone Jennifer Dox had probably 12 just -- I don't know, maybe it was important, maybe it wasn't, I don't know. I really -- I 13 would be guessing. 14 Well, you remembered it; is that right? 15 16 I remembered it because I had seen the name in 17 the paper, so it --18 So it had been mentioned to you by whom? 19 A By Maureen. 20 Q Did you speak to Doug on the 5th? 21 No, I didn't. A 22 So Maureen mentioned --Q 23 Wait, on the 5th, that was the day that I left. 24 I am sorry, the conversation that we are talking

about took place when then, sir?

- 1 A Days later, a couple of days later. It wasn't the day that I left.
- Q Now, let's stop and let's get our times back now.
- 5 A Okay. The 4th of July was on Monday, the 5th I left in the morning.
- 7 Q It's your testimony that you believe Jennifer 8 Dox came to the house after the 5th?
 - A The day of the 5th. I left in the morning, 9:00, 9:30.
- 11 | Q And your conversation took place after that?
- 12 On the -- it should have been and if I have A 13 calculated my traveling it was -- I was in grand New Mexico at the time, which would have been my 14 15 third day on the road, having traveled across 60 times I usually get there on the third day, so 16 17 that would have been the 8th and this would have 18 been evening here when I would have called from 19 the hotel, I was having car trouble and it was 20 very hot.
- 21 | Q So the conversation --

- 22 A I was very upset over the car that I had 23 purchased from Charlie Holbrook.
- Q So your testimony is that the conversation which involved the Jennifer Dox visit took place on

- the 8th?
- 2 A Uh-huh.

5

- 3 Q But that the visit itself took place three days 4 earlier?
 - A Right.
 - Q And was that the news that came from --
- 7 Oh, Maureen and I talked for 20 minutes. talk all the time about anything that's going on 8 and the newspapers, on television. 9 I was in New 10 Mexico all by myself, I was upset, and she Now, calm down, you are not going to die 11 says: of heat prostration, we are talking to Charlie 12 and all that, and that was the kind of 13 conversation that we had. It was banter, idle 14 15 banter.
- 16 | O And it involved Jennifer Dox?
- 17 A Her name came up in it, yes, and I had seen her name in the paper, yeah.
- 19 Q And to the best of your recollection then that
 20 took place on the evening of the 8th of July
 21 1988?
- 22 A Uh-huh. I had asked Maureen if there had been
 23 any other things in the paper and all that, and
 24 that led to her telling me, no, nothing more in
 25 the paper, there wasn't anything else in the

- paper, and then she mentioned that there was a Jennifer Dox had been by the house, and she was giving me sort of like an update and all that because I had read the newspapers.
- Q Was that the end of the update more or less then?

1.5

1.8

- A Yeah. I can't remember anything else about, you know, that -- on that particular day, yeah.
- Q Did you at some point after having contact with Jackie in San Diego notify Maureen and/or Doug, you yourself notified them?
 - No, not -- no. Jackie didn't want to go home, she didn't want to -- she was upset at what had happened in California to her. This was her major concern and it was my concern for her. She had a real bad time in California and I wanted her to get help. She had been raped in San Diego, it wasn't pleasant, I wanted her to go to the police and she didn't want to go to the police, she said I am a runaway, they will give me a real hard -- they will put me in -- she mentioned juvenille hall, and she had all these thoughts of where they would put her and -- yeah.
- Q At that time she was concerned about not going

home also? 1 2 Pardon? She didn't want to go home also? 3 Q She didn't want to go home. She ran away, she 5 didn't want to go home. MR. CONNOLLY: I have no further 6 7 questions. Thank you, sir. 8 THE WITNESS: You are welcome. 9 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. WRIGHT: 10 11 Do I understand you to say it was Maureen who 12 asked you to go see if could you find Jackie in 13 San Diego? Maureen didn't ask me to go, I was leaving, it 14 15 was my time to leave, and she said, "Will you look for Jackie," and I said, "Yes, I will." 16 17 How was it you happened to find her? 18 She was standing in front of the Greyhound Bus 19 Depot in San Diego. You have to be familiar 20 with southern California and the way the cities 21 are, it is not like Maine. It's very easy to 22 find these runaways so to speak. They usually,

you know, end up hanging around a place like,

you know, the bus depots, and that's where I

looked and that's where she was.

23

24

Did you have any idea why she had run away? 1 I think -- I am not -- I will be speculating. 2 Do you want me to speculate? No, I don't think so. A Then I can't answer your question truthfully 5 6 because it would be speculation. MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. That's all. 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. CONNOLLY: 9 Without speculating as to why she ran away, she 10 11 gave a reason, right? She didn't want to go home. 12 13 MR. CONNOLLY: No further questions. RECROSS EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. WRIGHT: 15 Well, did she give you a reason, that was the 16 Q 17 question. 18 No, Jackie was more -- nuh-huh. She was more concerned about what had happened 19 to her in California? 20 21 Α She was more concerned about that, yeah. What by the way then happened, did she stay in 22 Q California? 23 She got a job working for this couple 24 baby-sitting for them. She worked for them I 25

guess a couple of months then she took care of a 1 young girl that had MS, took care of her for a 2 short time, she met Ralph, married him and had 3 two children and she has lived happily every 4 5 after, I hope. 6 Did you have any role to play in her securing employment? 7 I introduced her to this couple. 8 For whom she began doing work? 9 Q Yeah. It was a Navy couple and they had two 10 11 children and they needed a baby-sitter and they were in a pinch and Jackie was on the street and 12 13 they offered her board and room and a small salary. 14 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. 15 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 17 MR. CONNOLLY: Nothing further, Judge. Thank, sir, you may step 18 THE COURT: 19 down. (Witness excused.) 20 THE COURT: May this witness be 21 finally excused? He is here by subpoena. 22 23 MR. CONNOLLY: He is here by subpoena, your Honor? I would request that he be subject 24

to recall if necessary. I would certainly not

delay his return to California unless it was 1 absolutely critical. I don't anticipate that 2 that would happen but we went through pains to 3 4 get him here and I would just as soon not give 5 up jurisdiction unless if necessary. 6 THE WITNESS: You tricked me to get me here. 7

THE COURT: You will remain available, sir.

THE WITNESS: Sure. Can I sit in the courtroom now or do I have to go outside? MR. CONNOLLY: I would request

sequestration.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You better not sit in the courtroom then.

MR. CONNOLLY: Judge, I have another housekeeping matter, if I may.

May we have a moment, sir?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. CONNOLLY: Judge, if I may, I am offering what has been marked as Defendant's Number 8, which are certified copies of the Sagadahoc 88-119 entries. Judge, these are not new or -- they are the basis upon which most of the offer of proof on March the 15th and 16th -- 1989 were made. I don't believe that they had been included in the record per se, I read from them at length, I paraphraseed them, I indicated to the court what the records indicated, and I would like to have -- I have certified copies of the docket entries of the actual documents which were admitted into the file on that docket number and the file except for the jacket, and the docket entries is complete here, so I would offer that at this time.

MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, with respect to a couple of pages of what has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 8, and there are actually three separate items, one is the criminal trial list of May and June 1988, one is a motion for a continuance dated July 15, 1988 and granted by Justice Cole, if I recognize his signature right, on the 18th of July, and the other is an order of the court signed by your Honor on January 25, 1989 dismissing the underlying prosecution in criminal 88-119, along with a couple of other documents.

Among those other documents are two reports, one being a report from Sheriff Haggett and another being an affidavit from apparently

Jennifer Dox containing matters which are not otherwise in evidence before this court and I believe are not the kind of records, court records nor material contained within the court records for which the exception for hearsay rules to which judicial proceedings apply, this is an effort to get into evidence simply because they happen to be located in a court record statements by other individuals, and I object to the court's using or relying upon the substantive content of those two reports of Miss Dox and Sheriff Haggett for any purpose.

2.1

I don't contest that they are certified copies that came from the court but these are different kinds of records and ought not to have -- as to those two pieces of paper ought not to be relied on.

MR. CONNOLLY: If I may respond.

MR. WRIGHT: I don't mind the court receive them so that they are in the record, of course, but it's a question of what evidence is properly before you for consideration in ruling upon the motion for a new trial.

MR. CONNOLLY: If I may, your Honor, these are certified copies of the actual

Sagadahoc file 88-119, these were included by the prosecution in the matter, they were affidavits attached to a motion to continue, they have been in so far as that's the case. More to the point they were the basis of an offer of proof which I understand according to our rules of procedure is operative during the period of this motion for new trial, in the sense that the court may and in fact must rely upon the totality of evidence that was offered at the time of trial plus looking at the evidence which is offered during the course of this motion in its totality. These documents in chambers were quoted from, they were discussed, and the offer of proof was based upon that.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The -- what the transcript does show is that these were made subject to the offer of proof, that the contents of these documents were stated in the offer of proof and that they are part of the offer of proof. I merely for a sense of completeness am establishing a -- an admissible manner.

THE COURT: Exhibit 8 is admitted in its entirety.

MR. CONNOLLY: Thank you.

1 If I may just have a moment.

2.5

Your Honor, at this pint in the proceeding the defense will either rest or call one additional witness. I request that we take the noon break now and I will give the court an answer at that time. It's a question of just the one witness that will be discussed, whether that will be done or not I would like an opportunity to discuss with Mr. Wright.

THE COURT: All right. We will -- MR. CONNOLLY: Thank you.

THE COURT: -- recess at this time until 1:00 p.m.

MR. CONNOLLY: Thank you, Judge.

(Thereupon, the lunch recess was taken between 11:50 a.m. and 1:00 p.m., afterwhich the following proceedings took place:)

THE COURT: Mr. Connolly.

MR. CONNOLLY: The legal language,

Judge, is the defense rests, which I always
thought that was somewhat inappropriate language
but the concept is the claim, so we are
officially done with our formal presentation and
now we are going to see what we can make out of
Eric's.

1 THE COURT: It's kind of a damp day. MR. CONNOLLY: Yes, sir. 2 MR. WRIGHT: I have a few witnesses I 3 would like to present, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Very well. 5 MR. WRIGHT: Al Hendsbee. 6 7 THE CLERK: Raise your right hand and . 8 state your name, please. THE WITNESS: Alfred L. Hendsbee. 9 10 Thereupon, ALFRED HENDSBEE 11 12 was called as a witness and, after having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as 13 follows: 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. WRIGHT: 16 17 Would you state your name again for the record, 18 please. 19 Alfred L. Hendsbee. 20 Q And your present occupation? Private detective, retired from Maine State 21 A 2 2 Police. 23 Are you self-employed as a private detective? Yes, I am. 24 A 25 When did you retire from the Maine State Police?

- 1 | A June 29, 1990.
- Q How long had you been with the Maine State
 3 Police?
- 4 | A 20 years.
- 5 | Q And your rank at the time of your retirement?
- 6 A Detective Corporal.
- Q Mr. Hendsbee, the record in this case already
 establishes that you became involved in the
 investigation of the murder of Sarah Cherry --
- 10 A Yes, I did.
- 11 Q -- and as time wore on -- pretty quickly wore on
 12 did you take on a particular designated role in
 13 some nomenclature of the state police?
- 14 A Yes, I was the primary investigator of this
 15 case.
- 16 Q Being a primary investigator or the means what?
- 17 A It's my responsibility to bring the case to conclusion, to court.
- 19 Q All right. Now, Mr. Hendsbee, I would like to
 20 ask you if I might just a couple of questions
 21 with respect to a few issues surrounding the
 22 days of the 6th, 7th and 8th of July 1988.
- 23 A Yes.
- Q I don't want to ask you questions that simply repeat all your trial testimony so let me just

get right to some things.

Were you involved in some fashion in the towing of Mr. Dechaine's truck?

- A Yes, I was.
- Q There may have been at the time of the trial some -- I think there was in the record some evidence on this but could you indicate for the court what your role was in that, first of all?
- A Yes. I had the truck towed to the state police barracks in Augusta, to have it secured at the crime lab.
- 12 | Q At your request somebody came to tow the truck?
- 13 A Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

,这是我们的一个人,也是我们的一个人,我们就是我们的一个人,我们就是我们的一个人,我们也不会会的一个人,也不会会的一个人,也是一个人,也是一个人,也是一个人,也是我们的一个人,我们就是我们的一个人,

- Q Do you recall approximately what time it was and on what day that you made the request for the truck to be towed?
- 17 A It was the early morning hours of July the 7th.
- 18 | Q Early morning hours meaning?
- 19 A Sometime after midnight, shortly after midnight.
- 20 | Q Shortly after midnight?
- 21 A Yes.

- Q Later that morning, that same morning of the 7th did you have yourself occasion to go to the state police crime laboratory in Augusta?
 - A I went to headquarters in Augusta which is

- 1 beside the crime lab.
- 2 | Q What time was that?
- 3 A 05:35 hours.
- 4 Q 5:35 a.m.
- 5 A Yes.

10

11

23

24

25

- 6 Q At that time did you go over to the crime lab
 7 which was next to the state police headquarters?
- 8 A No, I didn't. I didn't go over to it, no.
 - Q No, okay. Did you while there at the state police crime lab or outside the crime laboratory see Mr. Dechaine's truck?
- 12 A No, I didn't.
- 13 Q Do you at the time of this case -- let me ask it
 14 to you this way: At any time during the
 15 investigation of this case was it of concern to
 16 you as the primary investigator whether or not
 17 Mr. Dechaine's truck was diesel?
- 18 | A No, it wasn't.
- 19 Q Did you during the course of the investigation
 20 ever bother to learn? Do you recall whether it
 21 was a diesel or not?
- 22 | A No, I didn't.
 - Q What is -- by the way, when a vehicle such as in this case Mr. Dechaine's truck is requested by an officer such as yourself towed to the crime

- 1 laboratory, where are they particularly put?
 - A There's a garage in the crime lab which vehicles that detective's request, state police requests are placed there so they can be examined later on by the crime lab technician, secured there.
 - Q I don't know that I specifically asked you and I meant to and I am sorry, you said that you asked that the truck be towed shortly after midnight.

 When was it towed?
- 10 A Shortly after midnight.
- 11 Q So that by 5:35 when you went up to Augusta the truck had already left the scene in Bowdoin?
- 13 A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q With respect to the truck itself did you take
 any further actions as the primary investigator?
- 16 A With the truck?
- 17 | Q With respect to Mr. Dechaine's truck.
- 18 A At a later time I checked to see how the doors
 19 locked.
- Q Well, not necessarily physically but did you do anything to allow others at the crime laboratory to work at -- with the truck?
- 23 A Yes, I gave them the keys to the vehicle.
- Q Let me see, I don't want to -- do you remember

 putting together a search warrant for the truck?

- 1 A Yes.
- Q When was that that you put together a search
 warrant to allow those at the crime laboratory
 to search through the truck?
- 5 A I believe if was on the morning of the 8th.
 - Q Do you recall getting that warrant, search warrant approved by a judge that same morning, the 8th?
- 9 A Yes.

- 10 Q And upon getting it approved by a judge did you notify taking action with the crime laboratory to allow them then to begin their work, search of the vehicle?
- 14 A Yes, I called in to tell them that I had a

 15 search warrant and they could start searching

 16 the vehicle.
- 17 Q What time was it -- it was on the morning of the 8th?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q What time was it that you notified the laboratory that the search warrant had been approved and they could go ahead?
- 23 A I don't know the exact time. It would be in my 24 reports.
- MR. WRIGHT: Excuse me, your Honor. I

am just eliminating needless paperwork.

Why don't you eliminate it.

MR. CONNOLLY: Judge, may I know what the witness is being handed so I could follow along?

MR. WRIGHT: Sure.

BY MR. WRIGHT:

- Q I am handing you, am I not, Detective, copies -a copy of several pages of reports which you
 prepared?
- A Yes.

MR. CONNOLLY: I am sure I have them,
I have no question about that, I just want to be
able to have them indicated so that I can
follow.

BY MR. WRIGHT:

- When you get to the specific page of concern, that is when if it's reflected in the record that you notified the laboratory, before you go into any contents of it give us the page number. I think there's a page number with my handwriting for discovery purposes on the bottom right-hand corner.
- A Yes.
- Q And the page number is, just so Mr. Connolly can

```
make reference to it in his work -- his reports?
 1
 2
     A
        234.
                  MR. CONNOLLY:
                                  Thank you.
 3
                  THE COURT: Is that p.m.?
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 I am sorry, page
 5
        number.
                 It will be 11:25 hours. It will be
 6
 7
        a.m.
        BY MR. WRIGHT:
 8
        Looking at that now, that reflects the time at
 9
     Q
10
        which you notified the crime laboratory that
        they could go ahead and begin searching?
11
12
        Yes. .
13
        That was at what time again?
        11:25.
14
     A
15
        A.m.?
     Q
        Yes.
16
17
        Mr. Hendsbee, after the truck, Mr. Dechaine's
        truck had been towed to the laboratory as you
18
        know it was, would it have been possible for any
19
20
        media to -- before the 8th when the laboratory
21
        began work on the truck, were any members of the
22
        media, television stations able to do a video of
23
        the truck?
24
        No.
     Α
```

Were tire tracks along the Dead River Road of

- any concern to you during the period of the 6th through the 8th?
 - A No, they weren't.
- Q Why not?

- 5 When I first arrived at the scene and found Mr. Dechaine's truck I was advised that a search 6 party had traveled all the roads in and around 7 8 that area, and not only that but Dennis Dechaine 9 himself had taken the police cruiser on several 10 roads looking for his pickup truck, and the 11 whole area I figured was contaminated with vehicle tracks. 12
- Q Did you on the morning of the 7th of July or
 even the afternoon of the 8th -- 7th of July or
 at any time meet a Ralph Jones?
- 16 A No, I did not.
- Q Or speak with him with respect to his having claimed to have seen a truck on the road with screaming from a little girl coming from it and so on?
- 21 A No, I did not.
- Q Did you ever go look for tire tracks along the Dead River Road?
- 24 A Not that I recall, no.
- 25 Q A couple of days, a day or two after the 7th of

July did you go to the residence of Mr. Jones to 1 interview or reinterview him, take notes? 2 No, I didn't. 3 As the primary investigating officer do you know 4 -- did it ever come to your attention that any 5 officer ever did such a thing? 6 7 No. MR. WRIGHT: 8 Thank you. CROSS EXAMINATION 9 10 BY MR. CONNOLLY: Were you not aware that Deputy Sheriff Ackley 11 12 had in fact made a notation in his notes that he had spoken with Ralph Jones about hearing 13 14 screams coming from a red and white pickup 15 truck? I don't recall that, no. 16 17 Because it wasn't important to you at the time? Well, if it was reported to me on July the 7th 18 that would have been extremely important to me. 19 20 Q And so you admit that had the information been passed upon to you on July 7th you would have 21 22 acted on it? 23 Oh, yes.

24

25

Q

Yes.

Yes or no, sir?

- Q And if you had obtained that information you would have taken perhaps photographs or castings of the tire tracks that were pointed out; is that true?
 - A I don't know what you are referring to about photographs of tire tracks or castings. There were some photographs and tire tracks castings made in the Henkel residence.
 - Q Let's stop there. You took those in the Henkel residence because tire tracks are very important in determining whether a vehicle had been in a location or not; is that true?
- 13 | A Exactly.
- 14 Q And it is extremely important in some instances
 15 because that can be the basis of an
 16 identification?
- 17 A Yes.

- Q And the basis of an identification can be dispositive as to whether one vehicle as opposed to another vehicle was there?
- 21 A Yes.

Q The tire treads in this particular instance were problematic to the prosecution insofar as the Henkel residence is concerned, isn't that right, that they didn't match the tire tracks entirely

to Dechaine's vehicle?

MR. WRIGHT: I object.

MR. CONNOLLY: He brought it up,

Judge.

MR. WRIGHT: Well, I didn't bring up anything about the Henkel residence but that is not my objection. The record should speak for itself with respect to the match or lack of matches as one may wish to say of any tire tracks in the Henkel residence, that's a matter of record and there's no indication that there's any absence of matches.

THE COURT: Well, it's been four years since the trial, over four years. My recollection is that there was a problem as to the tracks because of the contamination of the driveway, but if you have a reference to the record let's look it up.

MR. CONNOLLY: I was asking the detective so that we could -- could I get an answer from him?

THE COURT: The way you phrased the question, though, was in terms that any tracks that were made there, if they were made by the Dechaine's vehicle that they somehow didn't

match the tracks that were found at the place where the vehicle was ultimately found.

MR. CONNOLLY: That's my recollection, Judge, and I spent a long time on it on trial on that issue and the result was not dispositive in the sense that there had been concern about contamination, exactly. Exactly, that's my point and that is why I want the detective to indicate.

THE COURT: Well, why don't you rephrase your question because that was not the sense in which I understood your question. I think the way the question was asked was to Mr. Wright's objection.

MR. CONNOLLY: Let's rephrase it then. BY MR. CONNOLLY:

- Q Sir, do you remember one way or another as the primary investigating officer whether or not the tracks at the Henkel residence were contaminated? Let's start that way.
- A Parts of them, yes.
 - To that end, sir, is it true based on your recollection of the facts of those casts that were made at the time that the match was not dispositive of the Dechaine vehicle?

MR. WRIGHT: I object, the record will 1 speak for itself in that respect. 2 3 MR. CONNOLLY: Judge, he has called this witness as an expert on the investigation. 4 THE COURT: If the witness knows the 5 answer he may answer. 6 7 MR. CONNOLLY: Thank you, Judge. BY MR. CONNOLLY: If you know, sir. Would you give me the definition of your 10 dispositive? 11 12 In other words they weren't conclusive, were 13 they? You mean absolute? 14 15 No, I meant conclusive, sir. 16 Conclusive as to what? 17 As to the identity of the Dechaine truck being in that driveway at the time in question. 18 19 I can answer that by my recollection is that 20 they were similar to. Similar to. Do you recall that the Dechaine 21 Q vehicle had snow tires on it? 22 Yes. 23

Do you recall that there was discussion at the

time that the matched part applied only to the

24

front tires, not to the snow tires?

- A I don't recall that.
- Q The record would speak to that --
- 4 A Yes.

Q -- more clearly?

It's true, is it not, that if you had been informed that there were other tire tracks that a witness thought was important as part of an investigation on the 7th of July of 1988, it was proper procedure to check those out?

- A Yes.
 - Q To that end a casting of those tire tracks or photographs of those tire tracks would be important evidence in determining whether or not those tire tracks were consistent with those in the Henkel driveway?
 - A No, not necessarily.
 - Okay. If the information that you had as a primary investigating officer was that during the period of July 6, 1988 a truck was seen in the area of the Dead River Road in which a young girl was heard either crying or laughing somewhat loudly and that a person chased after them, those tracks may have been of consequence; is that fair to say?

- 1 A Yes.
- Q Police procedure would be to check those tracks
 and preserve the evidence, would it not be?
- 4 A Yes.
- Q Because without that preservation there can be no adequate documentation as to whether a match existed or not; is that correct?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q Similarly if there were other tracks which were
 10 of -- which were pointed out to be of
 11 significance the only way that you can verify
 12 their importance would have been to document
 13 them; isn't that right?
 - A That's right.
- Q So that you as primary investigating officer had you known of information which related to tire tracks, prudence would have dictated that you document those tire tracks?
- 19 | A Yes.

- Q Because they could lead to evidence which would implicate someone or exclude someone?
- 22 A That's correct.
- Q It's the same kind with fingerprints, isn't it; 24 is that right?
- 25 A That's correct.

Q To that end at the time that you towed the
Toyota into the Maine State Police Crime Lab as
is photographed in Defendant's Number 12, do you
recollect that photograph, sir?

A Yes.

Q That was admitted at the original trial, there were a large number of fingerprints that were taken from the truck that did not match either Mr. Dechaine or Sarah Cherry; is that right?

MR. WRIGHT: I object, that is retrying the case, all this was gone over at the trial.

What I have put the witness on for was to address issues raised by Mr. Connolly in this proceeding on the motion for new trial. We are not here to retry the case from three and a half years ago. It's all in the record. The record will speak for itself.

MR. CONNOLLY: I am not here -
THE COURT: Is this newly discovered?

MR. CONNOLLY: No, sir, it is not,

it's just trying to establish a loss on the

investigation and I have a right to go after

that loss.

THE COURT: Up to a point. We are not

going to retry the case.' 1 MR. CONNOLLY: I have no intention do 2 that, sir, but at the same time I do want to let 3 the court know that there is some observations here that I have a right to contend with. 5 THE WITNESS: Repeat the question, 6 please. 7 MR. CONNOLLY: May I have a read back? 9 (Thereupon, the pending question was 10 read by the court reporter.) 11 I don't know how many fingerprints were taken 12 13 off the truck. I feel as though that's best left to the technicians that did that. 14 And you have no recollection as the primary 15 investigating officer whether or not 16 fingerprints had been taken from the truck that 17 did not match? You don't recollect one way or 18 another? 19 20 I recollect there was some fingerprints taken but I don't know what they matched. 21 Do you recall me cross examining you at the time 22 23 of the trial and showing you Number 13 here,

Do you remember that diagram?

This diagram of the truck?

24

- 1 Q Yes, sir.
- 2 A Okay.
- Q Do you remember that writing? These red marks
 -- blue marks are one, two, et cetera, is that
- 5 your handwriting, sir?
- 6 A I don't believe so.
- 7 | Q Is it Judy Brickman's, do you know?
- 8 | A I don't know.
- 9 Q You sat through the whole trial?
- 10 A I did.
- 11 | Q You recognize this exhibit?
- 12 A Vaguely.
- 13 Q Just vaguely. So you don't know one way or the
 14 other whether or not the information that was
 15 obtained when the truck was towed was as to
- 16 fingerprints, do you?
- 17 | A No.
- Q Similarly, sir, when was the photograph which
 has been marked as Defendant's Number 12 taken,
 do you know?
- 21 A I don't recall. I didn't take those 22 photographs.
- Q So photographs were taken of the truck, it's fair to say that you had no control over?
- 25 A It was -- I had control over it being the

1 primary investigator but the purpose of that truck being in the location that it is is for ' 2 police examination by the Maine Police Lab, 3 that's their expertise. 5 When was the first press conference that the state police had in reference to this case? 6 MR. WRIGHT: I object, I don't know 8 what relevance that could possibly have. 9 MR. CONNOLLY: Judge, Mr. Wright has 10 elicited testimony during the course of direct 11 examination of this witness about ten minutes 12 ago which indicated no photographs could possibly have been released. 13 14 THE COURT: He is talking about 15 video. 16 MR. CONNOLLY: That's my point, Judge, that there are other things than video, there 17 are photographs and that those became available. 18 THE COURT: 19 To whom? 20 MR. CONNOLLY: To the press. THE COURT: 21 Go ahead. BY MR. CONNOLLY: 22 23 Do you remember, sir? 24 I was not allowed to talk to the press, that 25 was --

- 1 | Q That was whose duty?
- 2 A LaMontagne's or a commanding officer or 3 lieutenants. I was not allowed to talk to the
- 4 press.
- 5 Q Do you know how that -- they went about their 6 business then?
- 7 A The press?
- 8 | Q No, sir, Captain LaMontagne.
- 9 A I didn't see him do a press release. I was
 10 doing what I was doing, I wasn't -- I am sure
 11 they did but I wasn't aware of it.
- 12 Q So you don't know what the content of that press
 13 release was?
- 14 A No.
- Q So if that included a photograph that was reproduced on video you would have no knowledge of that, would you?
- 18 | A That's correct.
- 19 Q Sir, you indicated that you towed the truck
 20 approximately just after midnight on the morning
 21 of the 7th?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q The truck was found at what time, do you recollect, sir? Shortly before that time?
- 25 | A No, it was found -- well, sometime prior to

- 1 11 o'clock, I believe, when I arrived at the scene.
 - Q When did you become involved in the case, approximately?
 - A It was late in the evening of the 6th.
 - Q And you were on the scene at the time the truck was found?
 - A It was found prior to my arrival.
 - Q Shortly before your arrival?

- 10 A Yes, they took me to the location of it.
 - Q And they had -- based on your experience as the primary investigating officer in the case they had been looking for that truck for some time?
 - A No, not for some time. My understanding was that they were looking to help Dennis Dechaine find his truck sometime prior to that. Once again I don't know the exact time on that but Dennis Dechaine and the deputies were looking for his truck.
 - Q But you knew based upon your discussions, did you not, that early in the day on the first report of disappearance Mr. Dechaine's name was associated with that damage -- bill for repair of damage was found and that there was a lookout at that time, approximately 4:30 in the

```
1
        afternoon looking for his truck?
 2
                  MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, I object.
 3
                   THE COURT:
                               That's a compound
        question.
 5
                  MR. CONNOLLY: I guess it is, I will
        start back from little pieces.
 6
        BY MR. CONNOLLY:
 7
 8
        Do you remember, sir, that documents were found
        in the driveway?
        Yes.
10
11
        And that was early in the afternoon,
12
        approximately 4:00, when Miss Henkel came home?
13
        Yes.
     A
14
        That was in the area of between 3:30 and
        4 o'clock?
15
16
        Yes.
        Plus or minus somewhat?
17
18
        Yes.
19
        And at that time Mr. Dechaine's truck was
20
        suspected?
21
        I can't say Mr. Dechaine's truck was suspected.
     Α
22
        You said that they were looking for it.
23
        I can say they were maybe wanting to talk with
24
        Dennis Dechaine but my recollection is they were
25
        looking for Sarah Cherry, and I don't think
```

- Dennis Dechaine was the one they were looking for.
 - Q There is no question in your mind that no tire track impressions other than the ones of the Henkel driveway were taken except for the ones from Dechaine's truck for comparison purposes?
 - A That's correct.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q And it's your testimony that at no point did you provide to Mr. Wright a written report as it related to Ralph Jones?
- A I don't recall -- I might have read Ralph Jones name in the report. I don't recall ever talking with Mr. Ralph Jones.
- Q You're indicating in response to Mr. Wright's question that you had no concern whether or not the truck was a diesel; is that right?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q You are aware, are you not, that the fact of a

 19 truck being diesel is an identifying mark, isn't

 20 it?
- 21 A That was just brought to my attention a couple 22 of weeks ago.
- Q But you didn't even know at the time that there
 was a difference between a diesel truck and
 another truck; is that right?

There's a difference between a diesel and a gas 1 2 truck, sure, there is. Insofar as the sound that they make, that's one 3 Q difference, correct? Some diesels make -- make a different sound than 5 6 a car, yes. 7 And also a diesel can be jumpstarted very differently because it doesn't rely on the same 8 kind of spark? I don't know that. 10 11 But for your perspective it made no difference, 12 it didn't matter to you whether a truck was a diesel or not a diesel at the time of the 13 14 investigation, sir? 15 That's correct. 16 MR. CONNOLLY: Thank you, sir. 17 no further questions. Any redirect? 18 THE COURT: MR. WRIGHT: 19 No, nothing else. Thank 20 you. 21 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 22 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. 23 (Witness excused). 24 MR. WRIGHT: Ron Jacque.

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand and

1 state your name, please. THE WITNESS: Ronald B. Jacque. 2 Thereupon, 3 RONALD JACQUE was called as a witness and, after having been 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as 6 7 follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 9 BY MR. WRIGHT: 10 State your name again, please, sir. 11 Ronald B. Jacque. 12 Your occupation? Q Sergeant with the Maine State Police. 13 14 How long? Q Since January of 1977. 15 A Sergeant, where do you live? 16 Q I live on the Lewis Hill Road in Bowdoinham. 17 A How long have you lived there? 18 Q 19 I moved in in September of 1976. A Living on Lewis Hill Road you did become 20 Q 21 familiar I take it, did you not, intervening 22 years with the roadways, whether they were 23 paved, dirt roads, topography of the land, that 24 kind of thing? 25 Yes, sir.

- 1 | Q Do you know a Ralph Jones?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 | Q Do you know where he lives?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- Q All right. Now, a couple of questions if I
 might. In July of 1988, particularly I direct
 your attention to the 7th of July, do you
 remember what the weather was like?
- 9 A Yes, sir, I do.
- 10 Q Could you tell the court what your recollection is?
- 12 A It was extremely hot, bright sunshiny day and very, very dry.
- Q Extremely hot meaning what, what would you estimate the temperature to have been?
- 16 A Somewhere in the 90s.
- Q What was the condition of the Dead River, Road at that time, was it paved or a dirt road?
- 19 A Dirt road.
- Q And to what extent would traffic along the Dead
 River Road particularly in that area between
 Mr. Jones' house westerly towards the Hallowell
 Road and up then towards the Buttricks -- do you
 know where the Buttricks lived?
- 25 A I think so.

- 1 | Q At the end of the road by the Litchfield Road?
- 2 A Yes.

5

7

8

9

20

21

- Q Let's see. We have State's Exhibit 1 behind you. You recognize the Lewis Hill Road intersecting with the Dead River Road?
- 6 A Yes, sir.
 - Q And where I am pointing my finger where it says

 Varney Corner, this is called the Hallowell Road

 going north?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 Q And the Buttricks lived out at the end and I
 12 called this the Litchfield Road.
- 13 A Yes, Bowdoin, Litchfield.
- 14 Q Now, the question I asked you was: What was the condition of the Dead River Road in that portion between where Mr. Jones lived westerly to the Hallowell Road and beginning up towards where the Buttricks lived?
- 19 A That was a dirt road, sir.
 - Q And to what extent during that time period and on that day in particular would traffic be able to kick up dust or not kick up dust?
- A Well, being a dirt road the roads have never
 been treated with any product to keep the dust
 down so on a dry day such as this it would be a

- dusty road with vehicles going over it.
 - Q Have changes been made in the road since?
 - A Yes, sir, completely rebuilt.
 - Q From your own observations can you compare the road today with its appearance and condition in 1988, July of '88?
 - A What the town has done is put in between 18 and 24 inches of fill, they raised the road up between 18 and 24 inches, they have widened it out and then they have hired or had people go and cut bushes along the side of the road so the road would be higher and be wider and the bushes would be trimmed back.
- 14 Q Are you speaking of the area between Mr. Jones'
 15 house and the Hallowell Road?
- 16 A Yes, sir.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q As well as other areas along the Dead River Road too?
- 19 | A Yes, sir.
- Q You are familiar with the location where

 Mr. Dechaine's truck was found up the Hallowell

 Road which is noted on State's Exhibit 1 with

 the orange sticker saying Truck?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q Were you familiar with that area that's been

- described as a turn-in off that road? Were you familiar with it at the time?
 - A Excuse me?

18

19

20

21

- Q Were you familiar with it at the time?
- 5 A Yes, I was.
- 6 Q And have you seen it more recently in the last couple of weeks as well?
- 8 A Yes, I have.
- 9 Q How does it compare between 1988 and now, the 10 summer of 1992?
- 11 A It's still there, it's still passable by a

 12 vehicle. In other words, a vehicle can still

 13 pull in, it's well defined.
- 14 Q Is it more grown up now, more clear now or what?
- 15 A I would say if anything the trees are larger. I

 16 don't notice that it's any more grown up along

 17 through there.
 - Q With respect to the roads also, just one last question, two, have you measured a distance from Mr. Jones' house westerly towards the Hallowell Road?
- 22 A Yes, I have.
- Q And the length -- the distance from Mr. Jones'

 -- the front of Mr. Jones' house, the front of

 his driveway to the road is how long?

- 1 A Four tenths of a mile.
- 2 Q Is there kind of a little knoll or rise at some
- 3 point in between those two spots?
- 4 A Yes, there is.
- 5 Q Where does that fall along the four tenths of a
- 6 mile?
- 7 A Almost exactly in half. It would be two tenths
- 8 of a mile from Mr. Jones' driveway to the crest
- 9 of the knoll.
- 10 | Q Now, you indicate that you had knew Ralph Jones?
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- 12 Q Did you know him at the time, that is, in the
- 13 | summer of 1988?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 | Q The question I would like to ask you, Sergeant
- 16 Jacque, is whether on the morning of July 7,
- 17 1988 you had any conversation whatsoever with
- 18 Ralph Jones?
- 19 A No, sir, I did not.
- MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
- 21 CROSS EXAMINATION
- BY MR. CONNOLLY:
- 23 | Q Officer Jacque, you filed no reports in this
- 24 | case, did you?
- 25 A Excuse me?

- 1 | Q Did you file any reports in this case?
- 2 A No, sir.
- 3 Q Not a one?
- 4 A No, sir.
- 5 | Q Were you in the command post on the morning of
- 6 July 7, 1988?
- 7 | A Yes, sir, I was.
- 8 | Q What was your job?
- 9 A Keep the telephone.
- 10 | Q Did you do that?
- 11 A Yes, I did.
- 12 | Q And was there a computer there?
- 13 A A computer?
- 14 | Q Yes, sir.
- 15 A No. sir.
- 16 Q Not at all?
- 17 A No, sir.
- 18 Q Was there any kind of -- in the command post
- 19 itself any kind of screen at all, kind of video
- 20 screen?

- 21 A No, sir.
- 22 | Q Sir, you say that you are familiar with the area
- in which the pull-off was described, is that
- 24 | right, that little area where the truck was
- 25 | ultimately found?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Q Turning your attention to what's been marked as
- 3 Defendant's Number 5 for identification
- 4 purposes, is that the location that we are
- 5 talking about, sir?
- 6 A I don't have any idea, sir.
- 7 Q So you have no idea where the truck was found
- 8 then, do you?
- 9 A Personal knowledge, no, sir.
- 10 | Q So when Mr. Wright asked you whether or not that
- pull-off was changed, do you know what pull-off
- 12 | we are talking about?
- 13 A I know the pull-off we are talking about, yes,
- 14 sir.
- 15 Q On the map if you refer to it, please. 1-A
- 16 behind you, sir.
- 17 A It would be right here, sir, (indicating).
- 18 Q You don't know then that the truck was in fact
- 19 found on that spot?
- 20 | A I don't have any personal knowledge, no, sir.
- 21 Q How do you know about that spot, that pull-off?
- 22 A I investigated a break of a trailer that was
- 23 there during hunting season where rifles were
- stolen so I am familiar with that specific
- 25 place.

- 1 Q And that spot is not secreted, it is not far 2 back from the road, is it?
 - A We are talking about like a driveway going in, so exactly what spot on that driveway I don't know what you are talking about, sir.
- 6 Q How far can you go in on that spot, if you know?
 - A From the road I would say that you could go in there 50 to 60 feet.
- 9 Q 50 to 60 feet? Have you measured that or is
 10 that an estimate?
- 11 A No, that's purely guesswork.
- 12 The photograph 5 in front of you, if I were to tell you that has been identified and admitted 13 14 into the case as to the location where the truck was found and it is the same spot -- you just 15 take a look at that -- if I were to represent to 16 17 you that that's the spot where we are talking about is the pull-off, does that look consistent 18 to where the pull-off in your recollection is? 19 20 Does it look to be about the same?
- 21 A Is this -- you are telling me this photograph is 22 exactly the same as on that?
- 23 Q Yes.

5

7

- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q It looks about right to you?

- 1 | A Yes, sir.
- 2 | Q And generally speaking when you went to do that
- 3 break on the trailer, is the trailer visible
- 4 | from the roadway?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q No obstruction of it?
- 7 A No obstruction of the roadway going in but
- 8 there's trees on both sides.
- 9 | Q But straight ahead there's no obstruction?
- 10 A Well, the road kind of goes at an angle. No,
- not in the roadway.
- 12 | Q Do you have a specific recollection of the
- weather on July 6, 1988?
- 14 | A July 6th?
- 15 Q Yes.
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q And do you remember thunderstorms occurring at
- 18 all that day?
- 19 A No, I don't.
- 20 | Q Do you remember that during the course of the
- 21 search for Sarah that there was wetness on the
- 22 ground? You don't remember that during say the
- 23 7th and 8th?
- 24 A No, during the 7th, Thursday, I was right there
- 25 at the intersection of Dead River Road, so,

- that's all I can talk about. Right there at the command post.
- 3 Q But do you know whether or not there had been a 4 thunderstorm?
- 5 A No, sir.
- 6 | Q You don't know?
- 7 A (Motions head sideways.)
- 8 Q So you can't -- do you know if on the morning of 9 the 5th there had been a thunderstorm?
- 10 A No, sir.
- 11 Q So you don't know whether or not there had been some kind of precipitation in the area surrounding that day?
- 14 A No, sir.
- Q The roadway as it existed at that time was more gravelly than it is now; is that right?
- THE COURT: Are you talking about Dead
 River Road?
- MR. CONNOLLY: Yes, sir.
- 20 A I would say it's more gravelly now, sir.
- 21 Q The three inches or so of fill that they put on 22 is more gravel than it was dirt?
- A No, they put between 18 and 24 inches of fill on and then this spring they put a shim on top, and about several inches, they did the same last

year and I believe the year before.

Q You did not take any notes as did any persons that you met on the time frame of July -- did you get -- excuse me.

Did you get to the command post on July 6th, sir, or July 7th did you start commanding the command post?

- A I got to the command post the morning -Thursday morning, I believe, July 7th.
- 10 Q Okay, so from Thursday morning until you were

 11 finished with the command post did you take any
 12 notes about anyone you talked to or spoke with?
- 13 A No, sir, I did not.
- 14 Q As a trooper would your normal responsibility be
 15 as a director of information such that if
 16 something came to you you would send it to the
 17 appropriate channel?
- 18 | A Yes, sir.

1

2

3

5

7

8

- 19 Q And you were busy I assume on that morning?
- 20 A Which morning are we talking about?
- 21 | Q Excuse me, July 7th.
- 22 A July 7th? With the radio and phones, yes.
- Q And you were covering both the radios and the phone at the time?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

- 1 | Q Was there anybody else covering that?
- 2 A Not at that specific time, no.
- 3 | Q Later on you got relieved or something?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q But during that period of time on the morning of 5 July 7th you were covering radio and phone. Did
- 7 you have any other duties?
- 8 A Nothing that I remember specifically, no.
- 9 Q Again the only notes that you recollect would be in reference to the log, the phone log that you
- 11 kept?
- 12 A That is correct.
- Q So were you keeping a phone log, answering the phone and listing to the radio?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q Who did you speak with that morning?
- 17 A From what time, sir?
- 18 | Q I am sorry, say the area from 9:00 to 11:00.
- 19 A I spoke with the people inside the command post,
- there were times when one of the detectives
- 21 | would take over, I was allowed to step outside.
- 22 Q At any time when you were in the command post
- 23 did any civilians at all come in?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q How many?

- 1 A I did not keep track, sir.
- 2 Q Do you know who they were?
- 3 A No, sir.
- 4 | Q Do you know if they were family members?
- 5 A No, sir.

10

- 6 | Q Do you know if they were press people?
- 7 A To my knowledge none of the press came into the command post.
 - Q So you would remember if they were press people but you don't remember whether they were family members or any other such persons?
- 12 A There were family members in the command post

 13 but you have to understand there are two doors,

 14 the only door I am aware of is the door right by

 15 -- it would be by the left front of the command

 16 post, there was a back door.
- Q When was the last time you spoke with Ralph
 Jones, do you know?
- A I believe the last conversation I have had with

 Mr. Jones was the day I arrested him.
- 21 Q When was that?
- 22 A I have no idea. It's been many years since I
 23 have talked with Ralph Jones.
- Q But since you arrested him he is likely to remember you, though, isn't he?

MR. WRIGHT: I object. 1 THE COURT: Sustained. 2 BY MR. CONNOLLY: 3 Based on your experience as a police officer --4 never mind, strike that. 5 6 Based on your experience of living in the area where the Dead River Road is -- and you 7 have been there how long, sir? 8 Since 1976. You can look out that road a fair piece, can't 10 you, from the area where Ralph Jones' house is? 11 What do you mean by "look out"? 12 13 Sure, you can see down the roadway at least .4 14 miles to the knoll, can't you? MR. WRIGHT: 15 . 2? 16 Which knoll? I am sorry, sir, I understood you to say on 17 direct examination that from Mr. Jones' house to 18 19 the top of the knoll was .4 miles; is that 20 correct? 21 No, sir. A I am sorry, what did you say? 22 Q 23 I was asked the distance from Ralph Jones' driveway intersecting the Dead River Road to the 24

intersection of the Dead River Road and the

Hallowell Road, which is four tenths of a mile. 1 2 I was asked another question as to where the knoll is in between, that's approximately two 3 tenths of a mile. Can you see from Ralph Jones' house to the top 5 of that knoll? 6 Two tenths of a mile? 7 Yes, sir. 8 Q Yes, sir. What about beyond that? 10 You cannot see the intersection. You can see 11 12 the road way beyond it, way beyond it. So that it swirls? 13 Yes, sir. 14 So you can see the second knoll or not, do you 15 16 know? Not the end section but following the 17 18 intersection there's another knoll that rises? Now you can. 19 20 Back then? Q 21 A I don't believe you could have seen the top of 22 the knoll beyond the intersection, no, sir.

MR. CONNOLLY:

further questions.

Thank you, sir.

25

23

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. WRIGHT:

- Q Can you see along the road better now or then?
- 4 A Much better now, sir.
 - Q And if Mr. Jones had reported to you hearing a little girl screaming you would especially recall that?
 - A Yes, I believe so.
 - Q And you heard no such report made?
- 10 A No, sir.
- 11 Q Can you see any turn-in beyond the intersection
 12 of the Dead River Road and the Hallowell Road as
 13 you look westerly along the Dead River Road?
 - A Have I seen any?
 - Q Can you standing in Ralph Jones' driveway
 looking westerly along the Dead River Road, as
 the Dead River Road continues up towards the
 Buttricks, can you see any turn-ins off the
 road?
 - A Now you can see almost to the turn-in, there's

 -- beyond the intersection in the westerly

 direction I didn't measure it but I am gonna

 guesstimate approximately 150 feet, 200 feet,

 you come to the top of a knoll and just as you

 crest the top of the knoll there is a turn on

the right-hand side of the road, it would 1 actually be headed northeasterly, but you cannot 3 see that roadway at all from the intersection of his driveway and the Dead River Road. Even now? 5 No, sir. 6 7 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. MR. CONNOLLY: Nothing further. 8 THE COURT: 9 Thank you, sir. You may 10 step down. 11 (Witness excused.) 12 MR. WRIGHT: Steven Drake. 13 THE CLERK: Raise your right hand and 14 state your name, please. 15 THE WITNESS: Steven Drake. 16 Thereupon, STEVEN DRAKE 17 18 was called as a witness and, after having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as 19 follows: 20 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. WRIGHT: 23 Again, although you testified last week for purposes of this hearing proper would you state 24 25 your name and your occupation, please.

- 1 | A Steven Drake.
- 2 | Q And your occupation?
- 3 A Detective for the Maine State Police.
- 4 Q You have been with the state police
 5 approximately 10 years you told us last week?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And as a detective I think you said six?
- 8 A A little over six. It will be ten in September 9 for the state police.
- 10 Q A couple of questions and that's all. Were you
 11 involved along with others on the morning of the
 12 7th of July of 1988 into the -- involved in the
 13 investigation of the murder of Sarah Cherry?
- 14 A Yes, I was.
- Q Not known yet for a murder because her body hadn't been found?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q At that -- on that day, that is the 7th of July,
 19 did Deputy Ackley of the Sagadahoc County
 20 Sheriffs Office report --
- MR. CONNOLLY: I will object, that's hearsay.
- THE COURT: I don't know what the question is yet.
- 25 BY MR. WRIGHT:

If you recall, whether Detective Ackley reported 1 2 anything to you? MR. CONNOLLY: I object. 3 I was stopped from having anything that anyone possibly said. 5 MR. WRIGHT: I am not going to get 6 7 into the contents of it at all. MR. CONNOLLY: He would not have known 8 of it but for the statement. 10 MR. WRIGHT: All I am seeking is a 11 time. 12 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 13 BY MR. WRIGHT: 14 Did Detective Ackley make a report to you? 15 A Yes, he did. 16 What time was that? 17 About 11:30, I believe. Okay. Now, much more recently did you have 18 Q 19 occasion to interview Kristin Comee? 20 A Yes, I did. 21 And that was when? Q 22 It was this month, I can't remember the exact 23 day. 24 Approximately around June 24th? 25

Approximately around that time, yes.

Do you recall if when you spoke with Miss Comee 1 Q she indicated anything to you with respect to 2 Pam Babines' feelings towards Douglas Senecal? 3 MR. CONNOLLY: I object, that's 5 clearly calling for hearsay. MR. WRIGHT: Well, it's offered only 6 for impeachment purposes since Miss Comee 7 specifically denied a remark that I asked her on 8 cross examination, that's all. MR. CONNOLLY: It's still an 10 out-of-court statement being offered for the 11 12 truth of the matter. MR. WRIGHT: I am not offering it for 13 the truth, only for impeachment of --14 MR. CONNOLLY: He is asking for an 15 out-of-court statement that was not under oath, 16 17 Judge. THE COURT: Prior inconsistent 18 19 statement. 20 MR. CONNOLLY: Prior inconsistent 21 statement --THE COURT: It's overruled for 22 purposes of impeachment of in-court testimony. 23 The objection is noted, it's overruled. 24 25 THE WITNESS: Can you say that again,

sir?

BY MR. WRIGHT:

Q Yes. The question is whether Miss Comee had anything to say with respect to Miss Babines' feelings about --

MR. CONNOLLY: I will object to the feelings about a witness who is not in court today.

THE COURT: Rephrase your question.

MR. WRIGHT: All right.

BY MR. WRIGHT:

- Q Did Miss Comee indicate, say anything to you when you interviewed her on June 24th of this year with respect to Pam Babine and Douglas Senecal?
- A Yes, she said that she --

MR. CONNOLLY: I will object and ask that anything that they said -- that is double hearsay. He is being asked to quote a witness who is not in court who is quoting someone else who is not in court.

MR. WRIGHT: Yes, but again I am not offering it for the truth of what's asserted, only for impeachment of Miss Comme's denial of the statement.

Objection overruled. 1 THE COURT: She stated that --2 THE COURT: Just a moment. 3 Does there seem to be a problem here? trying to conduct a hearing and I am trying to 5 conduct that hearing in a judicial environment 6 free from any reactions on the part of the 7 audience, and I will do this either in a quiet 8 environment or I will do it closed to the public 9 if there is any more reaction to any of my 10 rulings. 11 12 Having said that, let's proceed. Read back the pending question, please. 13 (Thereupon, the pending question was 14 read by the court reporter.) 15 She stated that Pam Babine has a chip on her 16 17 shoulder against Doug Senecal and there's been a never-ending battle with Pam and Doug over 18 19 property or just about anything, you know, on 20 that line. Do you recall whether she said anything along 21 the lines that she would do anything to get Doug 22 Senecal? 23 MR. CONNOLLY: I will object. 24 THE COURT: Sustained. 25

1 MR, WRIGHT: Thank you. That's all I 2 have, your Honor. THE COURT: Redirect -- I am sorry, 3 recross. CROSS EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. CONNOLLY: 6 7 Do you know what day that conversation took 8 place? 9 I don't recall, sir. Is it fair to say that you also told her that 10 11 day that since she wasn't subpoenaed she didn't have to show up in court? 12 I did say she -- she asked me if she wanted 13 14 to come to court and I advised her that I 15 wouldn't show up unless I was subpoenaed. 16 And you knew I had been in conversation with her 17 and that she told me that she was going to come voluntarily, didn't you? 18 19 No, I did not. It was -- my indication was that 20 I know that she had talked to you but I did not know, sir, that -- I told her to be there with a 21 22 subpoena, to come with a subpoena. That's how 23 you do things, sir.

Unless someone gives you their word that they

are going to show; isn't that true?

24

No, sir, you always serve a subpoena even when 1 they get here. You served a subpoena today, 2 3 sir. So you admit that you told one of my witnesses that she didn't have to bother to show up 5 because I didn't subpoena her, didn't you? 6 7 or no? No, sir. I told her that if she wasn't 8 9 subpoenaed she didn't have to come. I told her she could come over here if she wanted to, it's 10 11 totally up to her, but if she was subpoenaed that's the proper way to do it. 12 And you had a badge and you showed her the 13 14 badge, didn't you, sir? Of course. I always identified myself. 15 16 Q And you showed her a badge and said, hey, lady, 17 you don't have to show? MR. WRIGHT: I object, that is not 18 what is indicated. 19 20 No, sir. 21 MR. CONNOLLY: No further questions. 22 THE COURT: Anything further?

MR, WRIGHT:

THE COURT:

THE WITNESS:

Nothing else.

Thank you, sir.

Thank you.

23

24

(Witness excused). 1 2 THE COURT: Mr. Wright. MR. WRIGHT: Pat Lehan. 3 THE CLERK: Raise your right hand and 5 state your name, please. THE WITNESS: Patrick Lehan. 6 7 Thereupon, PATRICK LEHAN 8 9 was called as a witness and, after having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as 10 11 follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 13 BY MR. WRIGHT: State your name again, please. 14 Patrick Lehan. 15 A Your occupation? 16 Q 17 Maine State Detective, State Police. 18 How long have you been with the state police? 15 years. 19 A How long working as a detective? 20 Q 21 Approximately seven. During part of that time working as a detective 22 did you work doing undercover work, drug work? 23 24 Yes. 25 When was that? Q

- 1 | A I believe it was in 1982 until 1987.
- Q And during that time when you worked undercover
 with the Maine State Police was there a policy
 which you followed with respect to the naming of
 informants in police reports?
 - A Yes, sir.

- 7 | Q What was that policy?
- 8 A We were to write the reports without naming the confidential informant.
- 10 Q How would a confidential informant be referred
 11 to in a police report under that policy?
- 12 A It would not.
- 13 Q At all?
- 14 | A | At all.
- 15 | Q And is that a policy that you follow?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q Was the policy at some point changed?
- 18 A Yes, it was.
- 19 Q As a result of what, do you know?
- 20 A As a result of a hearing with a subject by the 21 name of Barker.
- 22 | Q Did that involve you?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q And did contacts or -- the substance of that which led to the change of policy was what?

- A The naming of the informant in the reports.
- Q Well, what -- you were present, what happened at that hearing that led to a change in policy?
 - A At that hearing I was asked about the writing of the reports, and the question was asked of me regarding that I fabricated reports, and I answered that I had been writing reports like that for five years, and I did say, yes, I fabricated reports.
- 10 Q And had done so insofar as the report did not
 11 accurately reflect the existence of or the
 12 identity of an informant?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 | Q Under the policy that was then in effect?
- 15 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 16 | Q That hearing was in what court, do you recall?
- 17 THE COURT: York County Superior
- 18 Court.
- MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
- 20 BY MR. WRIGHT:
- 21 | Q Detective Lehan, how tall are you?
- 22 A Five foot five.
- 23 | Q And for the record your color of your hair?
- 24 A Brown.
- 25 | Q You were working on the morning of the 7th of

July 1987? 1 2 Yes. Along with others in the investigation as it 3 developed of the murder of Sarah Cherry? Yes. 5 A 6 At some point or at any point that morning, the morning of the 7th or any time that day, did you 7 meet with or speak to a Ralph Jones? 8 9 No. 10 Did you at any time go down the Dead River Road with Mr. Jones or anybody to look for tire 11 tracks? 12 13 Ā No. Did you that day, that morning at any time 14 15 receive any information with respect to a truck and a little girl and screaming? 16 17 No. MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. That's all. 18 CROSS EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. CONNOLLY: 20 Trooper Lehan, the policy that was in affect at 21 the time that Mr. Wright went through was to 22 23 file search warrants and other affidavits indicating that you had personal knowledge of 24

information that actually came from a

- confidential informant, isn't that true, sir?
 - A Could you restate that, please?
 - Q Yes, sir.

3

5

6

7

8

9

- At the time the policy that you were complying with was to not name a confidential informant but to use the information as if it were your own?
- A The policy regarding writing the reports was not to put the identification of the informant in that report.
- Q And the same was true for application for search warrants; isn't that true?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q And a search warrant is a sworn statement under oath, is it not?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q That you had personal knowledge of the facts
 18 that pertained therein?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q So you are saying it was the Maine State Police policy at that time to lie under oath?
- 22 A That is not what I am saying.
- 23 | Q Do you know what a sin of omission is, sir?
- 24 A Why don't you go ahead and explain it to me.
- 25 | Q Do you know what it is?

A No.

Do you consider it at all troublesome to you to state that you had knowledge yourself when in fact it came from a different person? Does that concern you at all?

MR. WRIGHT: I object.

MR. CONNOLLY: Your Honor, if I may, the state opened this can of worms about harassing and I have a right to inquire.

MR. WRIGHT: He is asking for a personal opinion whether that's of concern which seems to me to be irrelevant.

THE COURT: As it relates to the oath the objection is overruled.

MR. CONNOLLY: Yes, sir.

THE WITNESS: Please restate it.

MR. CONNOLLY: Yes.

BY MR. CONNOLLY:

Q As it relates to the issue of taking an oath in which you say that you have personal knowledge of matters but in fact you do not have personal knowledge of those matters, they are based on what somebody else told you, do you find that that causes you individually any difficulty when testifying?

- 1 A Yes.
- Q So for five years you admit that you had false reports and it was bothering you; is that right?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q It didn't bother you for five years? When did 6 if bother you, when you got caught?
- 7 A I just explained what the policy was, and I adhered to that policy.
- 9 Q The policy was to not tell the truth under oath,
 10 wasn't it?
- 11 A No.
- Q It was to fudge it a little then, is that the way you are characterizing it, sir?
- 14 A No.
- Q It was to omit things that were of personal knowledge of someone else and to subsume that personal knowledge yourself, wasn't it?
- 18 A No.
- 19 | Q What was the policy?
- 20 A The policy was to not include the confidential informant in the report.
- 22 Q But in the case of State versus Michael P.
- Barker, docket 86-425 in York County, you said
- that you had that personal knowledge, didn't
- 25 you?

- 1 A I don't know if I --
- Q Do you remember state versus James Munson, a

 Cumberland County case, jury waived, I was the

 defense lawyer, Judge Bradford was the judge?
- 5 A Yes, I do.
- 6 | Q The same issue came up, didn't it?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q In fact, there were a string of cases, were
 9 there not, sir?
- 10 A I don't know the exact number but there wasn't a string of cases.
- 12 | Q It was more than three?
- 13 | A Three or four, yeah.
- 14 Q And it's your testimony under oath now that the
 15 Maine State Police instructed you pursuant to a
 16 policy to mislead an affidavit and under oath?
- 17 A It was a policy to write the report in that
 18 fashion and to notify the prosecutor of the
 19 confidential informant, if there was one in a
 20 particular case.
- 21 Q And the particular case we are talking about 22 there were, weren't there?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Turning your attention to this particular matter 25 is there a policy of the Maine State Police to

not say things in court that might hurt this case?

MR. WRIGHT: Oh, I object.

MR. CONNOLLY: I am trying to find out if there's a policy existing today, I don't know whether there is or not. There was in the past, he did it for five years. I have a right to inquire.

THE COURT: You may answer.

- 10 A Is there a policy?
- 11 | Q Right.
- 12 | A No.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

- Q Have you been instructed today as to the importance of your testimony?
- 15 A I was instructed to come here and testify.
- 16 | Q About -- do you know about what?
- 17 A About this particular case, yes.
- Q Did anyone prior to you taking the oath this
 morning -- this afternoon mention Ralph Jones'
- 20 name?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q Who mentioned it?
- 23 A Mr. Wright.
- 24 | Q When?
- 25 A Approximately two weeks ago.

- 1 | Q Did you check your notes?
- 2 A I did just last night, yes.
- 3 | Q The original notes?
- 4 A I don't have original notes.
- 5 | Q Where are your original notes?
- 6 A I don't have original notes. After I transcribe
- 7 those onto a report form, the original notes are
- 8 thrown away...
- 9 | Q So you destroyed those, whatever they were?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Where were you working on the morning of July 7,
- 12 19887
- 13 A If I could refer to my report I can be as
- 14 accurate as possible.
- 15 | Q Well, do you remember without looking at your
- 16 notes?
- 17 A No, I don't remember the name of the road, I
- wasn't familiar, I was in the Bowdoin area.
- 19 | Q Without looking at your notes for a moment, you
- 20 don't know the name of the road without checking
- 21 | your notes; is that right?
- 22 | A It's something Hill Road, it's the first and the
- 23 | last I got there.
- 24 | Q Do you know what time you got there and left?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q What time did you get there?
- 2 A Approximately 9:00 or 9:30.
- 3 | Q What time did you leave?
- 4 A I believe I left there approximately 3 o'clock 5 because I was home by 4:30.
- Q Where were you at during those morning hours,
 were you in the van, in the command post or
 otherwise?
- 9 A When I first arrived I went to the command post
 10 and I was instructed to go with Detective
 11 Fascelle (phonetic) to do a neighborhood
 12 interview.
 - Q So you were with another person then, another detective?
- 15 A Yes.

- Q Describe Detective Fascelle for us, if you would, please.
- A Well, Detective Fascelle is approximately five foot four and a female.
- Q And it's your testimony that at no point you talked to anybody by the name of Ralph Jones?
- 22 A Correct.
- Q What time did you start working with Detective
 Fascelle, do you remember?
- 25 A Shortly after we arrived at the command post.

- Q Who was the first person you talked with at the command post, do you remember?
- A Sergeant Phippen, I believe, he was the one that gave us the instructions.
- 5 | Q Sergeant Phippen?
- 6 A Yes.

- 7 | Q Are you sure it is not Michael Harriman?
- 8 A Positive. Michael Harriman wasn't there.
- 9 Q Please turn your attention to page 1 of your
 10 notes dated 7-7-88 when you first arrived at the
 11 command post at 7:30, not 9:30, the top
 12 paragraph and see if that refreshes your
 13 recollection, sir.
- 14 | A Yes, it does.
- 15 Q Who was it?
- 16 | A Sergeant Phippen.
- 17 Q It says Sergeant Phippen -- didn't it say. I was
 18 contacted by Sergeant Harriman?
- A And advised to report to the Bowdoin area on the Lewis Hill Road. He called me at home.
- Q So Harriman was the first one that contacted you?
- 23 A By telephone, yes.
- Q But your assignment was to do interviews on Lewis Hill Road; is that right?

- 1 A Correct, correct.
- Q And you were looking at that time for a red pickup truck, weren't you, if anyone had seen it?
- 5 A Yes.
- Q You were also inquiring as to whether anyone had seen Dennis Dechaine; isn't that right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q So it's fair to say at that time you had a
 10 specific function to look for a red pickup truck
 11 and statements about Dennis Dechaine?
- 12 A That's what was told to me by Sergeant Phippen.
- Q And that was your task and assignment; isn't that right?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q It was a narrow task to look for that 17 information in particular, wasn't it?
- 18 A To do the interviews asking that information.
- Q And the interviews were geared towards eliciting information as to the task which was for Dennis Dechaine and that red truck, wasn't it?
- 22 A Correct.
- Q So it would be fair to say that other
 information was not within the scope of your
 assignment?

Correct. 1 MR. CONNOLLY: Thank you, I have no 2 3 further questions. THE COURT: Any redirect? Nothing else. 5 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. 6 THE COURT: Thank you, sir, you may step down. 7 (Witness excused). 8 9 MR. WRIGHT: Tom Austin. THE CLERK: Raise your right hand and 10 11 state your name, please. THE WITNESS: Thomas Austin. 12 13 Thereupon, THOMAS AUSTIN 14 15 was called as a witness and, after having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as 16 17 follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. WRIGHT: 19 State your name, please. 20 Thomas Austin. 21 A 22 Your occupation? 23 I own and operate the Winnegance General Store Α in Bath. 24 25 Is that located on Route 209? Q

- 1 A Yes, it is.
- 2 Q And one goes on Route 209 down towards -- to get
- 3 to Phippsburg and back; is that right?
- A Right.
- 5 | Q Do you know Doug Senecal?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q Do you also know Pam Babine?
- A Yes.
- 9 | Q How well did you know either of them?
- 10 A They were both pretty steady customers of the store.
- 12 Q Do you recall, Mr. Austin, if not the specific
 13 date the event itself of the homicide, the
 14 murder of Sarah Cherry?
- 15 A Uh-huh.

17

18

19

- Q And do you recall if you had before that time period but in that general time period but before the actual events of early July 1988 -- I wish I could tell you when it was -- had seen Pamela Babine in your store?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q And when she came in did you ever hear her make any remarks about Douglas Senecal?
- 24 A There were times that she did, yes.
- 25 | Q How many occasions?

Two or three that I can remember. 1 What specific remarks if you remember did you 2 hear her say? 3 MR. CONNOLLY: I will object, that's hearsay. 5 THE COURT: Overruled. 6 She came in a couple of times and made some 7 offhanded remarks that didn't really mean too much at the time but there was one particular 9 time when there was another customer in the 10 11 store that we were having kind of a three-way conversation that she seemed really -- got 12 13 really agitated and was angry and used some profanity against Doug Senecal. 14 What profanity was used? 15 Q 16 There were quite a few but I can remember "fucking asshole" as being the one that most 17 18 sticks in my mind. Thank you, that's all. 19 MR. WRIGHT: 20 MR. CONNOLLY: May I have a minute, 21 Judge? CROSS EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. CONNOLLY: 23 How many times have you heard that phrase that 24

you just used, "fucking asshole," since that

- 1 time?
- 2 A Oh, many.
- 3 | Q Thousands?
- 4 A Well, maybe not thousands but.
- 5 | Q What was the date that you heard that?
- 6 A I couldn't tell you the exact date but it was
 7 before the Sarah Cherry murder.
- 8 Q When did someone inquire as to this of you, sir?
- 9 A A couple of weeks ago or a month ago.
- 10 | Q So let's get this straight, four years ago
- 11 someone in a store in a conversation that you
- were not even part of said to the effect, oh, he
- is a fucking asshole, and you remember that, is
- 14 that your testimony?
- 15 A Uh-huh.
- 16 | Q What was she wearing at the time?
- 17 A I don't remember.
- 18 | Q Who was she with at time?
- 19 A I think she was alone.
- 20 | Q And the date was approximately just prior to the
- 21 incident that we are talking about?
- 22 A Excuse me?
- 23 | Q The incident, the date that you heard this
- 24 conversation was just prior to what we are
- 25 | talking about now?

- 1 A I couldn't say how close it was but it was prior 2 to it.
- Q Do you remember at the time what other things
 were happening in that time frame, let's say --
- 5 A In the store?
- 6 Q Yes, in the store.
- 7 A At that particular instance I think it was just the three of us that were in the store.
- Q Okay, and do you remember during that period of time what was happening let's say in the news?

 Do you remember what was happening?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Do you remember anything at all about any major 14 news stories occurring --
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q You have no recollection of those kind of things?
- 18 A No.
- Q Do you have recollection then of who was coaching the Red Socks?
- 21 A No, I don't.
- Q Do you have any recollection of what the weather was that day?
- 24 A Not that particular day, no.
- 25 Q Who asked you to come in and testify about this?

Mr. Wright. 1 A Mr. Wright? 2 Q Uh-huh. Did someone come and ask you at your store about Q this or did you volunteer it to them? Someone came in. 6 7 Do you know who that was? Q Mr. Wright. 8 A 9 Q Himself? 10 Yes. 11 And he was asking you whether or not you ever 12 heard Pamela Babine, is that how he was 13 approaching you? 14 I got a call from -- the state police previously A 15 had asked me and I said that I did remember this 16 incident, and then he followed up and came and saw me about it. 17 18 And you yourself know Doug Senecal? 19 Yes.

20 MR. CONNOLLY: No further questions. Nothing else. 21 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.

22 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You may 23

step down.

24

(Witness excused).

25 MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, may I have

just a second, please? 1 Lucien Tardif. 2 THE CLERK: Would you raise your right 3 hand and state your name, please. THE WITNESS: Lucien A. Tardif, 5 6 Junior. Thereupon, 7 LUCIEN TARDIF 8 was called as a witness and, after having been 9 duly sworn, was examined and testified as 10 follows: 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. WRIGHT: 13 State your name if you would, please, sir. 14 Lucien A. Tardif, Junior. 15 16 Why don't you spell your last name. Q T-A-R-D-I-F. 17 A 18 And your occupation? General manager, Bath Lumber. 19 20 Speak right up for us. Yes. General manager, Bath Lumber Company. 21 And it may be self-explanatory but could you 22 describe for the record, the court, what the 23 business of Bath Lumber Company is? 24 25 It's a building home center.

- 1 | Q How long have you been with Bath Lumber Company?
- 2 | A 19 years.
- 3 | Q And how long have you been the general manager?
- 4 | A Since 1980.
- 5 Q And where is Bath Lumber Company located?
- 6 A Now at 325 Old Bath Road, Brunswick.
- 7 | Q How long have you been at that location?
- 8 A Since -- three years now.
- 9 Q Three years and previously where was the Bath
- 10 | Lumber Company located?
- 11 | A 500 Washington Street in Bath.
- 12 | Q In Bath?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q In the summer of 1988 where were you located?
- 15 A Bath.
- 16 Q Bath, all right.
- 17 | Q What are your responsibilities generally.
- speaking if you can tell the court as general
- 19 manager?
- 20 A Basically oversee all the employees in
- 21 purchasing and manufacturing and also take care
- of the invoices and stuff.
- 23 | Q Can you tell us what kind of records are kept of
- 24 purchases within the Bath Lumber Company?
- 25 A Everything now is on computer, it's on journals

- and everything is all filed.
- Q Well, in addition to your work as a general
 manager have you had occasion to deal with
 customers at the counter when they come in to
 buy things?
 - A Yes, I do.

7

8

9

10

15

- Q A host of a variety of different kinds of things that you sell? I mean, you might deal with people buying a variety of different kinds of things?
- 11 | A Yes, yes.
- 12 Q And when somebody comes in to purchase an item
 13 from the counter, what kind of record is
 14 produced at the time of the purchase?
 - A Well, an invoice is produced out of the computer automatically.
- 17 Q All right. In the summer of 1988 was there a

 18 computerized system for keeping track of

 19 purchases?
- 20 A Yes, there was.
- Q Then the computer generates an invoice for each purchase throughout the day?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q Is there in addition to the invoice computerized -- the invoice itself which is computerized,

- another listing of purchases or record of
 purchases made on --
 - A The following morning they are, there's a journal printed out of that previous day's work.
 - Q Can you describe the journal, what information does that contain?
 - A It lists the purchases and order, it shows the charged purchases especially in order as they were produced during the day.
- 10 Q Is there some kind of numbering system that you can associate the individual invoices from a given purchase with the computerized listing in the journal record?
- 14 A You mean --
- 15 Q Well, did the invoices have numbers on them?
- 16 A Yes.

5

6

7

8

- 17 | Q And --
- 18 A The computer generates the numbers.
- 19 Q All right, and are those numbers -- do those
 20 numbers appear also on the computerized listing
 21 of purchases throughout the day?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Okay. That's -- you call that the journal?
- 24 A The journal.
- 25 | Q All right, and do you have the journal for July

- 1 of 1988 with you?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q Is it on the floor there?
- 4 A It's right here.
- 5 Q Could you put it up, just put it up on the desk
- 6 there.
- 7 A (Witness complies.)
- 8 | Q And we have now a stack of papers in a rust
- 9 folder, how thick would you estimate?
- 10 A Six inches. Six, seven inches.
- 11 | Q That's for the entire month of July 1988?
- 12 A That's for July only, correct.
- 13 Q And does that journal list by the computer
- 14 | number the purchases in sequence throughout the
- 15 day?
- 16 A Yes, it does.
- 17 | Q And within that list are you then able to break
- down a specific day within the month?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 | Q And how do you go about doing that?
- 21 A Well, they are all in order by the date, and we
- 22 just do actual July -- it would be the following
- 23 day, the following business day was when this
- 24 report is produced.
- 25 | Q Did you know Douglas Senecal?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q How did you know him?
- 3 A Basically just as a general contractor, he was
- 4 coming into the lumber yard.
- 5 | Q Try and keep your voice up a little for us.
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q Had you dealt with him at the counter?
- 8 A Yes, I have,
- 9 | Q Have you sold items to him?
- 10 A Yes, I have.
- 11 | Q And when one is sold an item at the counter does
- 12 the invoice contain a place where one signs a
- name particularly if one is charge?
- 14 A Correct, they have to sign.
- 15 Q Had you dealt with Mr. Senecal whereby he had
- 16 purchased items, charged them and signed the
- 17 invoices?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 | Q I would like you if you could -- you at our
- 20 | request went through your records for the 6th of
- 21 July?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 | Q Did you not?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q Now, first of all on the 6th of July did you

- find any purchases by Douglas Senecal?
- 2 A Yes, there is.

3

5

В

7

8

10

11

Q And do you have -- first of all we will deal with the invoices first.

Do you have the originals of those invoices or that invoice of that purchase on July 6th?

- A It's right here.
 - Q All right, now marked an item that is marked as State's Exhibit Number 4 for identification, and so the record reflects what it is could you tell us, please.
- 12 A On July 6th he purchased a 7-inch combination blade.
- 14 Q That's an invoice of Mr. Senecal's?
- 15 A Yes, it is.
- 16 Q Indicating the purchase by him of a -- of a saw blade?
- 18 A Yes, a saw blade.
- 19 Q All right, and do you recognize his signature as 20 being on that form?
- 21 A Yes, it is, because he has a distinct signature.
- Q Are those records that are kept -- prepared in the ordinary course of business by Bath Lumber
- 24 Company?
- 25 A Yes.

```
Q Are they maintained as a regular part of the business of the Bath Lumber Company?

A Yes. They are stored in the back room.
```

- Q And they are prepared as I understand it contemporaneous with a purchase?
- 6 A What's that again?
- 7 Q They are prepared at the same time a purchase is made?
- 9 A Correct.

- MR. WRIGHT: I would offer State's

 Exhibit 4.
- MR. CONNOLLY: No objection.
- 13 THE COURT: Thank you. Admitted.
- 14 BY MR. WRIGHT:
- 15 Q Why don't you hold onto it for just a second.
- Now, is there a number on that anywheres?
- 17 A Yes, there is.
- 18 Q And the invoice number is what?
- 19 A 092026.
- 20 Q Say it again for me.
- 21 A 092026.
- Q Okay. All right. Going then to the large stack of items which you have got --
- 24 A Uh-huh.
- 25 | Q -- the journal?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Were you able to find that purchase within the
- 3 journal?
- 4 | A Yes, sir.
- 5 | Q That is that invoice number?
- 6 A Yes.

- Q Now, I know that's a pretty voluminous record so maybe I can just get a copy?
 - MR. CONNOLLY: No objection.
- MR. WRIGHT: Rather than have him tear
- 11 | apart --
- MR. CONNOLLY: The same thing, no
- objection.
- 14 BY MR. WRIGHT:
- 15 Q In utilizing the journal are you able to locate
- 16 first of all the first and the last purchases of
- the day of the 6th of July?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Let me show you next then State's Exhibit 5 for
- 20 identification. Do you recognize those records?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q And those are what?
- 23 | A The first invoice of the day on the front cover,
- 24 the middle page is the invoice that Doug Senecal
- has with a continuation on the following page,

- and the last page is the final sale of the day.
- Q And the number, invoice number for the first purchase of the day was what, sir?
- 4 | A 091742.
- 5 Q And the invoice number for the last purchase of the day was what?
- 7 A 092138.
- Q Now, if one were to take the last purchase of a larger number and subtract from it the first purchase or the smaller number as it were, would that determine how many purchases you had throughout that day?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q And have you done that?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 | Q And how many total purchases were there?
- 17 A 396.
- 18 Q Okay, and Mr. Senecal's purchase of a saw blade
 19 invoice number 92026 within those 396 purchases
 20 fell where?
- 21 A 284th. Yes, 284th purchase.
- Q Now, what -- when does the store open for the day, a week day?
- 24 A 7 o'clock. 7:00.
- 25 | Q I guess I should ask it to you in terms of the

- summer of 1988, was that the case?
- 2 A Yes.

5

7

8

- Q And is there a pattern to the purchases throughout the day, throughout the day?
 - A Normally the mornings are heavier, sales in the afternoon would be -- and again around lunchtime to about approximately 1 o'clock is another mass hit of contractors picking up stuff for the rest of the day.
- 10 Q And did Mr. Senecal maintain an account with 11 you? You said you knew him as a general 12 contractor?
- 13 A Yes, he had an account with us.
- 14 Q By the way, looking at invoice -- the original, 15 that is State's Exhibit 4?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Does that tell you who the clerk, if that,'s the
 18 right term, the clerk was who sold the saw blade
 19 to Mr. Senecal?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And have you checked to determine who that is?
- 22 A Yes, I have.
- 23 | Q And that person's name is what?
- 24 A Ano Hordeman.
- 25 | Q And does he work with you any longer?

- 1 A No, he does not.
 - Q All right. Now, keeping in mind, Mr. Tardif, where Mr. Senecal's purchase fell given the number of purchases, that was the 284th that day, there is no time on the invoice; is that correct?
 - A Correct.

- Q All right. Based however on your experience and given where this one falls on that day would you be able to estimate the time of purchase of the saw blade of Mr. Senecal?
- A Yes, we average in which this invoice is probably produced -- would probably be someplace between 12:30 and 1 o'clock.
- Q 12:30 and 1:00?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q Might it have been earlier or later?
 - A It could have been a little later, because we normally do about two-thirds of our business at about that point, and that's about what that would be.
 - MR. WRIGHT: Okay. Thank you.
- THE WITNESS: You are welcome.

CROSS EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. CONNOLLY: 2 Sir, turning your attention to State's Exhibit 3 1-A behind you, that map? 5 Yes. Take a moment and look at that. Can you 6 identify what it is? A map of Bowdoin. 8 Is the route that you were located on in 1988 10 discernable on that map? Do you see it there, sir? 11 12 No. I am showing you what has been previously 1.3 admitted in the trial as Defendant's Number 35 14 15 for identification purposes and ask if you can 16 take a moment and look at this map -- if I can 17 get it up there -- and tell us if you can-18 identify where your store is located, if you would, sir. 19 20 Α Yes. 21 And can you point it out to the judge, please. 22 Α It should be approximately --23 THE COURT: I can't see. MR. CONNOLLY: If you would draw a 24

large circle in blue ink on that spot.

THE COURT: That exhibit number? 1 MR. CONNOLLY: That one, sir, is 2 Defendant's 35. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. BY MR. CONNOLLY: 5 6 Approximately a little bigger. Approximately there? 7 8 Right. And that is on route what? 9 That's on Washington Street. 10 A 11 Does Washington Street have a route number, sir? No, it doesn't. 12 13 Washington Street, does it connect with any routes that you are aware of, that the map would 14 show us? 15 16 Well, Route 1 goes above it, and it also connects to Route 209 which is at the south end 17 18 of town. Now, compared to Phippsburg, Phippsburg would go 19 20 off where, sir? 21 To the south. To the south? 22 Q 23 So shortly after noon to 1 o'clock or maybe 24 even as late as 2 o'clock; is that right? 25 I would say probably around 1 o'clock.

- 1 | Q But that's based upon an estimate?
- 2 A Right.
- 3 | Q Based upon sales that vary, don't they?
- 4 A Right.
- Q Because you anticipate that about two-thirds of your sales are completed by midday?
- 7 A Between 1 and 2 o'clock.
- 8 Q And that's because contractors generally do 9 their business in the morning?
- 10 | A Right.
- 11 Q But it is based on seasons and idiosyncrasy,
- 12 aren't they?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q So one day you may have all your sales three quarters in the morning and one quarter in the afternoon or you may have a variance depending and a variety of things, isn't that right, sir?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 | Q It's just average that you are looking at?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q You have no specific recollection, do you, of this transaction?
- 23 | A No, because I didn't do it.
- 24 Q So you don't know whether it took one minute to 25 take place, do you?

- 1 A An item like this is just basically go to the 2 rack and pull it off and go to the counter.
- 3 Q You can leave the car running practically, 4 right?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And this route on the spot is -- strike that question.

The customer knows that they are going to get a receipt, don't they?

10 A Yes.

8

- 11 Q And in fact they are given the receipt right
 12 there?
- 13 A Correct.
- Q When was the first time you were contacted in reference to this, sir, do you know?
- 16 A A couple of weeks ago.
- 17 | Q So just very recently?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 | Q Do you know how he paid that day?
- 20 A What's that, sir?
- 21 | Q Do you know how he paid that day, sir?
- 22 A Charge, in-house charge.
- Q Were other persons authorized to make that house charge?
- 25 A What's that, sir?

- 1 Q Were other persons authorized to make a house 2 charge?
- A Yes, if he sends somebody up that works for him,
 yes.
- Q And it would be possible for that person,
 because it I says agent on the bottom, doesn't
 it?
- 8 A It says what?
- 9 Q It says agent underneath where it says the 10 signature?
- 11 A Purchaser or agent, yes.
- 12 Q Is this practice that a signature is required at
 13 that time where an agent can come in and later
 14 on have a signatures --
- 15 A No, they sign for it right then.
 - Q May I see those documents for a moment, sir?

 I am sorry, the one which is marked -- yes,

 Number 5.

Sir, why is it that all these other transactions have a variety of different items, is it because more items were purchased and this one was just a one --

A Correct.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q And this here indicates what -- what are the numbers? I am sorry, I am referring to State's

- Exhibit Number 5, and I am on the second page,
 the spot where it says Doug E. Senecal, it's a
 one-line item, and is that a description? What
- 4 does NO stand for?
- 5 A That's delivery.
- 6 Q It was delivered to him?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q What does it mean, it means delivered to the
- 9 store?
- 10 A That means that we deliver it, and that means
- 11 no.
- 12 | Q So that means he picked it up?
- 13 | A Right.
- 14 Q So you know that you didn't deliver it then?
- 15 A Right.
- 16 | Q Do you know whether or not that order was picked
- 17 up at that time?
- 18 A That was picked up.
- 19 | Q How do you know that, because of the other
- 20 document which is number --
- 21 A Right. He signed for it right then.
- 22 | Q Okay, so that would establish that he was there
- 23 | sometime during that day?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 | Q But again the time frame is variable depending

on a number of different things and you can't be 1 sure, can you? 2 No. 3 All you know is that in the sequence it's about two-thirds of the way there? 5 Right, which on the average puts it about that time on an average day. If it wasn't an average day the time could be anywhere from earlier to later? 10 Correct. MR. CONNOLLY: No further questions. 11 12 MR. WRIGHT: Nothing else. Thanks. THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You may 13 step down. We will take a recess at this time. 14 (Brief recess.) 15 That's all the state's MR. WRIGHT: 16 17 evidence. THE COURT: Mr. Connolly. 18 MR. CONNOLLY: Defense rests finally, 19 20 sir. 21 THE COURT: All right. I do want to have the benefit of oral argument in this case 22 and I will scheduled oral argument for 9:30 23 24 tomorrow morning. I will hear both sides final

arguments at that time.

Thank you, and I guess the court will be in recess. (Thereupon, at 3:12 p.m., the foregoing proceedings were concluded.) CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my stenographic notes of the Motion For New Trial held in the above-entitled cause. Dated this 8th day of September 1992. inberly my Cullock Kimberly McCulloch, Official Court Reporter